

Symmetric non-expanding horizons

Jerzy Lewandowski^{1,2,3*} and Tomasz Pawłowski^{2,1†}

¹*Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej, Uniwersytet Warszawski,
ul. Hoża 69, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland*

²*Institute for Gravitational Physics and Geometry
Physics Department, Penn State,
University Park, PA 16802, U.S.A.*

³*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics,
31 Caroline Street North, Waterloo,
Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada*

Symmetric non-expanding horizons are studied in arbitrary dimension. The global properties -as the zeros of infinitesimal symmetries- are analyzed particularly carefully. For the class of NEH geometries admitting helical symmetry a quasi-local analog of Hawking's rigidity theorem is formulated and proved: the presence of helical symmetry implies the presence of two symmetries: null, and cyclic.

The results valid for arbitrary-dimensional horizons are next applied in a complete classification of symmetric NEHs in 4-dimensional space-times (the existence of a 2-sphere crosssection is assumed). That classification divides possible NEH geometries into classes labeled by two numbers - the dimensions of, respectively, the group of isometries induced in the horizon base space and the group of null symmetries of the horizon.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.70.Bw

I. INTRODUCTION

A non-expanding horizon (NEH) is a null, non-expanding $n - 1$ -surface contained in an n dimensional spacetime of signature $(-, +, \dots, +)$. What distinguishes a NEH among other non-expanding null surfaces is its topology, assumed to be the Cartesian product of a compact spacelike crosssection $\hat{\Delta}$ with a null interval $\mathcal{I}$. The theory of NEH in $n = 4$ dimensions was proposed by Ashtekar et. al. [1, 2, 3, 4] as a quasi local generalization of the black hole theory. The framework and many results were generalized to an arbitrary spacetime dimension $n > 2$ ([5] the $n = 3$ case, and [6, 7] the $n \geq 3$ case). The non-rotating (see below) NEH horizons were defined by Newman and Pejerski [8]. Null and compact surfaces considered cosmological horizons were studied by [24].

A short outline of the published results should be started with a remark, that the (even local) existence of non-stationary vacuum spacetimes admitting NEHs [9, 10] came as surprise to several experts in the black hole theory. The theory of NEH can be divided into two chapters: Geometry and Mechanics. The scope of this paper is Geometry, hence for Mechanics we refer the reader to [2, 7, 11].

The geometry of a NEH Δ in spacetime which satisfies the Einstein equations (with or without a cosmological constant) and the weak energy condition, consists of the induced: (degenerate) metric tensor q on Δ , and the covariant derivative D in the bundle tangent to Δ .¹ The Einstein equations impose constraints on the geometry. The constraints are explicitly soluble. The structure of a general solution was studied in [3, 6]. For every solution, due to the geometric generalization of “the zeroth law of black hole thermodynamics”, there is the invariantly defined rotation 2-form. Other invariants [3] can be used to construct invariant coordinates in a spacetime neighborhood of a given generic Δ . Yet another invariant, one extensively used in this paper, is the Jezierski-Kijowski vector field [12]. This vector field is null and defined by the NEH geometry uniquely up to rescalings by a constant factor.

We call a NEH Δ symmetric, if there exists a vector field X defined on Δ , such that its local flow is a local symmetry of the NEH geometry (q, D) . The vector field itself is called an infinitesimal symmetry. If the infinitesimal

*Electronic address: lewand@fuw.edu.pl

†Electronic address: pawlowsk@gravity.psu.edu

¹ That unique connection is a peculiar property of the non-expanding and shear-free null surfaces. It is not shared by generic null surfaces.

symmetry X is null but nowhere vanishing, we say that it defines on Δ an isolated horizon (IH) structure, or just briefly we call Δ an isolated horizon.

All the non-extremal (that is, in this case, such that $D_X X \neq 0$) IH geometries, solutions of the vacuum Einstein constraints were constructed explicitly [3, 6]. A free data can be defined on any space-like cross-section $\tilde{\Delta}$ of Δ . It consists of a metric tensor $\tilde{q}$ and some differential 1-form $\tilde{\omega}$, a potential for the rotation 2-form. Compared with the parametrization of the Kerr metric family, $\tilde{q}$ is a generalization of the radius of the Kerr black hole horizon, and $\tilde{\omega}$ is the generalization of the angular momentum.

The question of what local properties distinguish the Kerr NEH geometry was raised in [13] and solved in the following way. In the $n = 4$ dimensions, the conditions that at an IH the spacetime Weyl tensor be of the Petrov type D, whereas the Ricci tensor vanish, are equivalent to the vanishing of certain invariant of the IH geometry. The only axial solutions to that condition are the geometries defined by the family of the Kerr spacetimes. That result provides a geometric, coordinate invariant, local characterization of the NEH whose geometry coincides with that of the Kerr black hole.

In the case of an extremal (i.e. non non-extremal) IH, the Einstein constraints take the form of a non-linear equation imposed on the pair $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\omega})$, the projection onto $\tilde{\Delta}$ of the metric q and the rotation potential ω respectively [3, 6]. In the vacuum case, the extremal IH equation reads

$$\tilde{D}_{(A} \tilde{\omega}_{B)} + \tilde{\omega}_{(A} \tilde{\omega}_{B)} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tilde{D}_A$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{AB}$ are the torsion free covariant derivative and the Ricci tensor, respectively, of the metric $\tilde{q}$. In $n = 4$ dimensions, the equation has solutions only if the topology of a spacelike section of the IH is either that of 2-torus or 2-sphere [14]. In the first case, the only solution is the trivial one. In the second case, the following two results are known. According to the first one [15], the only axial solutions are those defined by the extremal Kerr spacetimes. The second result due to Chruściel, Real and Tod [16] is that there are no non-rotating solutions. A short proof of this result is also hidden in the NEH literature [15] and [3] (however, the authors failed to notice that conclusion) and we will demonstrate it at the end of subsection VII A.

In the $n = 4$ case, an *a priori* unexpected relation between the extremal IH equation (1.1) on the one hand, and the Kundt constraint (31.15ab, 31.16ab) in [17] on the other hand, was found [14]. Via the relation, every solution of the extremal IH equation can be used to construct a vacuum spacetime, an exact solution of the Einstein equations which belongs to the Kundt's class. In particular, the spacetime was constructed whose topology is $S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and every surface $S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \{r\}$ is a Killing horizon (S^2 is a 2-sphere and r ranges $\mathbb{R}$).

In the early stages of developing the NEH theory a lot of attention was paid to the issue of the uniqueness of infinitesimal null symmetry. The hope was, that given a NEH, if a null infinitesimal symmetry exists, it should be unique modulo re-scalings by a constant factor. The result of the research on that issue was the discovery of NEH admitting 2-dimensional group of null symmetries [3, 6]. Explicit examples were constructed out of the extremal Kerr horizon [15].

The goal of this paper is a systematic analysis of the symmetric NEHs. All our considerations are global in the sense of the manifold Δ .

The basic definitions and geometric properties of the NEHs used in this paper are recalled in section II.

A general result of section III (see proposition III.4) is that every symmetric NEH is a segment of an (abstract, not necessarily embedded) symmetric NEH whose null curves are complete in any affine parametrization. Moreover, on that maximal analytic extension of a given symmetric NEH, the infinitesimal symmetry generates a group of globally defined symmetry maps. Therefore, in the main part of the paper, starting from Section IV through out the whole paper *we identify each NEH Δ with its maximal analytic extension*. The fact that in general the extension is not embedded in the space-time should not lead to any confusion.

The null symmetries of a symmetric NEH considered in the previous works, were assumed to act non-trivially on any null curve. In the current work we relax that assumption and study the zeros of all the possible null infinitesimal symmetries. The new results on the null symmetries are combined with the previous ones [3, 6, 15].

The most interesting new result is a generalization of the Hawking rigidity theorem to the NEH context. We prove, that every helical NEH necessarily is cyclic (or even axial), and admits a null infinitesimal symmetry. Our generalization extends in two directions: (i) from globally defined black hole to quasi locally defined NEH, and (ii) from $n = 4$ to arbitrary $n > 2$. In the literature, Hawking's rigidity theorem was also generalized to compact, null surfaces in [24].

The two results enlisted above lead us to a complete classification (discussed in subsection VII D) of the symmetric NEHs in the $n = 4$ dimensional spacetime and the spherical topology of a space-like cross-section case.

In this introduction we kept track of the works on the NEH geometry closely related to our current work. However, there is also the interesting literature ranging from papers discussing various mechanical approaches to the NEHs [18],

to the works dealing with similar study of other surfaces, whose scopes occasionally overlap with ours [19, 20, 21, 22, 23].

II. GEOMETRY OF A NON-EXPANDING HORIZON

A. Non-expanding null surfaces

In this section we introduce the notation, recall the definition and properties of non-expanding horizons [3, 4]. The related calculations concerning the general n -dimensional case can be found in [6].

1. Definition, the induced metric

Consider an $(n-1)$ -dimensional null surface Δ embedded in an n -dimensional spacetime $\mathcal{M}$. The spacetime metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ of the signature $(-, +, \dots, +)$ is assumed to satisfy the Einstein field equations (possibly with matter and cosmological constant). We will denote the degenerate metric tensor induced at Δ by q_{ab} . The subbundle of the tangent bundle $T(\Delta)$ defined by the null vectors will be denoted by L and referred to as the null direction bundle. Given a vector bundle P , the set of sections will be denoted by $\Gamma(P)$.

Definition II.1. *Given a null surface Δ embedded in spacetime satisfying the Einstein field equations it is called a non-expanding null surface (NES) if for every point $x \in \Delta$ the expansion of some nontrivial null vector ℓ^a tangent to Δ at x vanishes.*

The Raychaudhuri equation implies that provided the energy-momentum tensor of matter fields satisfies at Δ the following energy condition

$$T_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

(with T_{ab} being the pull-back of the spacetime energy-momentum $T_{\mu\nu}$ onto Δ) the flow $[\ell]$ preserves the degenerate metric q

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell q_{ab} = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

and the component ${}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{\ell\ell}$ of the spacetime Ricci tensor vanishes. The condition (2.1) will be further referred to as the *Weaker Energy Condition*.

The property (2.2) above combined with $\ell^a q_{ab} = 0$ means that, locally q_{ab} is the pullback of a certain metric tensor field $\hat{q}_{AB}$ defined on an $(n-2)$ -dimensional manifold $\hat{\Delta}'$. The manifold $\hat{\Delta}'$ is the space of the null curves tangent to Δ contained in a given (sufficiently small) neighborhood $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ open in Δ , and the map is the natural projection,

$$\Pi : \Delta' \rightarrow \hat{\Delta}', \quad q_{ab} = \Pi^* \hat{q}_{AB}. \quad (2.3)$$

2. The covariant derivative

If at a given NES Δ the matter fields satisfy the Weaker Energy Condition (2.1) then for any vector fields X, Y , sections of the tangent bundle $T(\Delta)$, the covariant derivative $\nabla_X Y$ is again a vector field tangent to Δ . Therefore, there is an induced connection D_a in $T(\Delta)$, such that for every pair of vector fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(T(\Delta))$

$$D_X Y^a := \nabla_X Y^a. \quad (2.4)$$

For a covector W_a , a section of the dual bundle $T^*(\Delta)$, the derivative $D_X W_a$ is determined by the Leibnitz rule,

$$Y^a D_X W_a = D_X(Y^a W_a) - (D_X Y^a) W_a. \quad (2.5)$$

Obviously, the derivative D_a is torsion free and annihilates the degenerate metric tensor q_{ab} ,

$$D_a D_b f = D_b D_a f, \quad D_a q_{bc} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

for every function f .

3. The rotation 1-form

The covariant derivative D_a induced on Δ preserves the null direction bundle L . It implies that the derivative $D_a \ell^b$ is proportional to ℓ^b itself,

$$D_a \ell^b = \omega^{(\ell)}_a \ell^b, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\omega^{(\ell)}_a$ is a 1-form defined uniquely on this subset of Δ on which $\ell \neq 0$ is defined. We call $\omega^{(\ell)}_a$ the rotation 1-form potential (see [3, 6]).

The evolution of $\omega^{(\ell)}_a$ along the surface Δ upon the null flow is responsible for the 0th Law of the non-expanding horizon thermodynamics:

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}_a = D_a \kappa^{(\ell)} + {}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{ab} \ell^b \quad (2.8)$$

where the *surface gravity* $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ is given by $\omega^{(\ell)}_a$ as follows

$$\kappa^{(\ell)} = \omega^{(\ell)}_a \ell^a. \quad (2.9)$$

We also strengthen the energy conditions imposed on $T_{\mu\nu}$, namely we most often assume in this paper that the following holds:

Condition II.2. (*Stronger Energy Condition*) *At every point of the surface Δ , for every future oriented null vector ℓ tangent to Δ , the vector*

$$-T^\mu{}_\nu \ell^\nu \quad (2.10)$$

is causal, that is

$$g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\alpha} \ell^\alpha T_{\nu\beta} \ell^\beta \leq 0, \quad (2.11)$$

and future oriented.

This condition implies automatically the previous one $T_{\ell\ell} \geq 0$. Also (via the Einstein field equations) it imposes the vanishing of certain Ricci tensor components at Δ , namely

$${}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{ab} \ell^b = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

The evolution of the rotation potential (given by (2.8)) is then described by the following theorem:

Theorem II.3 (The 0th Law). *Suppose Δ is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional, non-expanding, null surface; suppose that the Einstein field equations hold on Δ with a cosmological constant and with the matter fields which satisfy the Stronger Energy Condition II.2. Then, for every null vector field ℓ^a defined on and tangent to Δ , the corresponding rotation 1-form potential $\omega^{(\ell)}$ and the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ satisfy the following constraint:*

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}_a = D_a \kappa^{(\ell)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Theorem II.3 tells us, that there is always a choice of the section ℓ of the null direction bundle L such that $\omega^{(\ell)}$ is Lie dragged by ℓ . For, we can always find a non-trivial section ℓ of L such that $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ is constant. The relation with the original 0th Law of black hole thermodynamic goes the other way around. Indeed, if the vector field ℓ^a admits an extension to a Killing vector defined in a neighborhood of Δ , then $\omega^{(\ell)}$ is Lie dragged by the flow, therefore the left hand side is zero, hence $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ is necessarily (locally) constant.

Upon rescalings $\ell \mapsto \ell' = f\ell$ (where f is a real function defined at Δ) of the section ℓ^a of L the rotation 1-form changes as follows

$$\omega^{(\ell')}_a = \omega^{(\ell)}_a + D_a \ln f. \quad (2.14)$$

Therefore its exterior derivative (in the sense of the manifold Δ) called *the rotation 2-form* is independent of the choice of a null vector field $\ell \in \Gamma(L)$, i.e.

$$\Omega_{ab} := D_a \omega^{(\ell)}_b - D_b \omega^{(\ell)}_a = D_a \omega^{(\ell')}_b - D_b \omega^{(\ell')}_a. \quad (2.15)$$

B. Geometry of a NES and the constraints

Given a non-expanding null surface Δ , the pair (q_{ab}, D_a) , that is the induced degenerate metric and, respectively, the induced covariant derivative are referred to as the geometry of Δ . By a ‘constraint’ on the non-expanding surface geometry we mean here every geometric identity $\mathcal{F}(q_{ab}, D_a, {}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ involving the geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) and the spacetime Ricci tensor at Δ only. Part of the constraints is already solved by the conclusion that q_{ab} be Lie dragged by every null flow generated by a null vector field ℓ tangent to Δ (see (2.2)). Another example of a constraint is the 0th Law (2.8, 2.13). A complete² set of the functionally independent constraints is formed by $\mathcal{L}_\ell q_{ab} = 0$ and by an identity satisfied by the commutator $[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]$, where ℓ is a fixed, non-vanishing section of the null direction bundle L .

We turn now to the second identity mentioned above. The commutator itself is proportional to ℓ^b

$$[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]X^b = \ell^b N_{ac} X^c, \quad (2.16)$$

where the tensor N_{ab} can be expressed by the rotation potential, its derivative and the spacetime Ricci tensor

$$N_{ac} = D_{(a} \omega^{(\ell)}{}_{c)} + \omega^{(\ell)}{}_a \omega^{(\ell)}{}_c + \frac{1}{2} \left({}^{(n)}\mathcal{R}_{ac} - \Pi^{*(n-2)} \mathcal{R}_{ac} \right). \quad (2.17)$$

The contraction of (2.16, 2.17) with ℓ^a is equivalent to (2.8) whereas the meaning of the remaining part of the constraint (2.16, 2.17) is explained in the next sub-subsection after we itemize the derivative D_a into components.

1. Compatible coordinates, foliations

Further description of the elements of the covariant derivative D_a induced on a null, non-expanding surface Δ , and its relation with the spacetime Ricci tensor require an introduction of an extra local structure on Δ .

Given a nowhere vanishing local section ℓ^a of the null direction bundle L one can define in the domain of ℓ^a a real function v compatible with ℓ^a , that is such that

$$\ell^a D_a v = 1. \quad (2.18)$$

The function v referred to as *a coordinate compatible with ℓ* defines on Δ a covector field

$$n_a := -D_a v \quad (2.19)$$

which is:

- (i) normalized in the sense that

$$\ell^a n_a = -1, \quad (2.20)$$

and

- (ii) is orthogonal to the constancy surfaces $\tilde{\Delta}_v$ of the function v (referred to as *slices*).

The family of the slices is preserved by the null flow of ℓ , and so is n_a ,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell n_a = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

At every point $x \in \Delta$, the tensor

$$\tilde{q}^a{}_b := \delta^a{}_b + \ell^a n_b \quad (2.22)$$

defines the orthogonal to ℓ^a projection

$$T_x(\Delta) \ni X^a \mapsto \tilde{X}^a = \tilde{q}^a{}_b X^b \in T_x(\tilde{\Delta}_v). \quad (2.23)$$

² Among all the components of the Einstein tensor only its pullback to Δ can be involved in a constraint. It will be shown further that its value is determined by the commutator $[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]$. The remaining components involve transversal derivatives of the components of ∇_μ (where the number of determined transversal derivatives is equal to the number of the remaining components of the Einstein tensor).

onto the tangent space $T_x(\tilde{\Delta}_v)$, where $\tilde{\Delta}_v$ is the slice passing through x .³ Applied to the covectors, elements of $T_x^*\Delta$, on the other hand, $\tilde{q}^a_b$ maps each of them into the pullback onto $\tilde{\Delta}_v$,⁴

$$T_x^*\Delta \ni Y_a \mapsto \tilde{Y}_a := \tilde{q}^b_a Y_b \in T_x^*\tilde{\Delta}_v . \quad (2.24)$$

The field n_a could be extended to a section of the pullback $T_\Delta^*\mathcal{M}$ to Δ of the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{M}$, by the requirement that

$$g^{\mu\nu} n_\mu n_\nu = 0 . \quad (2.25)$$

Hence n_a can be thought of as a transversal to Δ null vector field from the spacetime point of view.

2. The components of D_a

Each slice $\tilde{\Delta}_v$ of the foliation introduced above is equipped with the induced metric tensor $\tilde{q}_{AB}$ defined by the pullback of q_{ab} (and of $g_{\alpha\beta}$) to $\tilde{\Delta}_v$. Denote by $\tilde{D}_A$ the torsion free and metric covariant derivative determined on $\tilde{\Delta}_v$ by the metric tensor $\tilde{q}_{AB}$. All the slices are naturally isometric.

The covector field n_a gives rise to the following symmetric tensor defined on Δ ,

$$S_{ab} := D_a n_b . \quad (2.26)$$

Given the structure introduced previously on Δ locally (the null vector field ℓ^a , the foliation by slices $\tilde{\Delta}_v$ and the covector field n_a), the derivative D_a defined on Δ is determined by the following information

- (i) the torsion free covariant derivative $\tilde{D}_A$ corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection of the induced metric tensor $\tilde{q}_{AB}$,
- (ii) the rotation 1-form potential $\omega^{(\ell)}_a$, and
- (iii) a symmetric tensor $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ defined in each slice $\tilde{\Delta}_v$, by the pullback of $D_a n_b$,

$$\tilde{S}_{AB} = \tilde{q}^a_A \tilde{q}^b_B S_{ab} , \quad (2.27)$$

and referred to the transversal expansion-shear tensor.

Due to the normalization (2.20) the contraction of the tensor with the null normal to Δ is equal to:

$$\ell^a S_{ab} = \omega^{(\ell)}_b . \quad (2.28)$$

The constraint (2.16,2.17) for D_a can be now expressed via the Lie derivative of S_{ab} through the equality

$$N_{ab} = \mathcal{L}_\ell S_{ab} , \quad (2.29)$$

giving the following evolution equation for S_{ab}

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell S_{ab} = D_{(a} \omega^{(\ell)}_{b)} + \omega^{(\ell)}_a \omega^{(\ell)}_b - \frac{1}{2} {}^{(n)}R_{c(ab)} \ell^c n_d . \quad (2.30)$$

The contraction of the above expression with ℓ^a reproduces the 0th Law, whereas the remaining component (the pullback of $\mathcal{L}_\ell S_{ab}$ onto a slice $\tilde{\Delta}_v$) determines the evolution of the transversal expansion-shear tensor $\tilde{S}_{AB}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \tilde{S}_{AB} = -\kappa^{(\ell)} \tilde{S}_{AB} + \tilde{D}_{(A} \tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)}_{B)} + \tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A \tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)}_B - \frac{1}{2} {}^{(n-2)}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2} {}^{(n)}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} , \quad (2.31)$$

where tilde consequently means the projection (2.24), and ${}^{(n-2)}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{AB}$ is the Ricci tensor of the metric tensor induced in slice $\tilde{\Delta}_v$ (since locally, every slice $\tilde{\Delta}_v$ is naturally isometric with the space of the null curves $\hat{\Delta}'$ equipped with the metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$ we denote the corresponding Ricci tensors in the same way).

³ Instead of $\tilde{X}^a$ we will write $\tilde{X}^A$, according to the index notation explained in Introduction.

⁴ The result will be also denoted by using a capital Latin index, as for example $\tilde{Y}_A$.

C. Non-expanding horizons

Definition II.4. A non-expanding null surface Δ in an n dimensional spacetime $\mathcal{M}$ is called a non-expanding horizon (NEH) if there is an embedding

$$\hat{\Delta}'' \times \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \quad (2.32)$$

such that:

- (i) Δ is the image,
- (ii) $\hat{\Delta}''$ is an $n - 2$ dimensional compact and connected⁵ manifold,
- (iii) $\mathcal{I}$ is the real line,
- (iv) for every maximal null curve in Δ there is $\hat{x} \in \hat{\Delta}''$ such that the curve is the image of $\{\hat{x}\} \times \mathcal{I}$.

The base space $\hat{\Delta}$ defined as the space of all the maximal null curves in Δ can be identified with the manifold $\hat{\Delta}''$ given an embedding used in definition II.4. That embedding is not unique, however the manifold structure defined in this way on $\hat{\Delta}$ is unique. There is also a uniquely defined projection

$$\pi : \Delta \rightarrow \hat{\Delta} , \quad (2.33)$$

onto the horizon base space.

As non-expanding horizons are just a special class of non-expanding null surfaces, all the properties and structures developed for NESs in subsections II A, II B apply in to NEHs. In particular (as the space $\hat{\Delta}'$ is now exactly the horizon base space) $\hat{\Delta}$ is equipped with a metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$ such that

$$q_{ab} = (\Pi^* \hat{q})_{ab} , \quad (2.34)$$

with π being the projection defined via (2.33). The tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$ will be referred to at the *projective metric*.

Through out of the remaining part of the article we will restrict our considerations to non-expanding horizons only. Given a NEH Δ there exists a globally defined, nowhere vanishing null vector field ℓ^a tangent to it. In particular, there is a vector field ℓ_o^a of the identically vanishing surface gravity, $\kappa^{(\ell_o)} = 0$. There is also a null vector field ℓ^a of $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ being an arbitrary constant,⁶

$$\kappa^{(\ell)} = \text{const} . \quad (2.35)$$

The vector field ℓ^a can vanish in a harmless (for our purposes) way on an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional section of Δ only.

In the remaining part of this subsection, ℓ^a (ℓ_o^a) denotes a null vector field defined on and tangent to Δ , such that (2.35) (such that $\kappa^{(\ell_o)} = 0$). We will also use a coordinate v compatible with the vector field ℓ^a ($\ell^a D_a v = 1$), and the covector field n_a ($= -D_a v$), both introduced in the previous subsection defined on Δ (except the zero slice of ℓ). It follows from the 0th Law (2.13) that the rotation 1-form potential is Lie dragged by ℓ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell \omega^{(\ell)}_a = 0 . \quad (2.36)$$

1. Harmonic invariant

It turns out, that the rotation 1-form potential $\omega^{(\ell)}_a$ defines on the base space $\hat{\Delta}$ a unique harmonic 1-form depending only on the geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) of Δ . Indeed, given the function v , there is a differential 1-form field $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A$ defined on $\hat{\Delta}$ and called the projective rotation 1-form potential, such that

$$\omega^{(\ell)}_a = \Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_a + \kappa^{(\ell)} D_a v . \quad (2.37)$$

⁵ In the case $\hat{\Delta}''$ is not connected all the otherwise global constants (like surface gravity) remain constant only at maximal connected components of the horizon.

⁶ The first one, ℓ_o can be defined by fixing appropriately affine parameter v at each null curve in Δ . Then, the second vector field is just $\ell = v \ell_o$.

The 1-form $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A$ is not uniquely defined, though. It depends on the choice of the function v compatible with ℓ^a , and on the choice of ℓ^a itself. Given ℓ^a , the freedom is in the transformations

$$v = v' + B, \quad \mathcal{L}_\ell B = 0, \quad (2.38a)$$

$$\hat{\omega}^{(\ell')}_A = \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A + \kappa^{(\ell)} \hat{D}_A B. \quad (2.38b)$$

The transformations $\ell'^a = f \ell^a$ which preserve the condition (2.35) are necessarily of the form

$$f = \begin{cases} B e^{-\kappa^{(\ell)} v + \frac{\kappa^{(\ell')}}{\kappa^{(\ell)}}} & \kappa^{(\ell)} \neq 0 \\ \kappa^{(\ell')} v - B & \kappa^{(\ell)} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.39)$$

and it can be shown using (2.14), that the only possible form of the corresponding $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell')}_A$ is again that of (2.38b) with possibly different function B and value of surface gravity. Therefore, if we apply to $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A$ the (unique) Hodge decomposition onto the exact, the co-exact, and the harmonic part, respectively,

$$\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A = \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\text{ex}}_A + \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\text{co}}_A + \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\text{ha}}_A, \quad (2.40)$$

then the parts $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\text{co}}_A$ and $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\text{ha}}_A$ are invariant, that is determined by the geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) of Δ only. The co-exact part is determined by the already defined invariant 2-form (2.15), via

$$\hat{\Omega}_{AB} = \hat{D}_A \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\text{co}}_B - \hat{D}_B \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)\text{co}}_A. \quad (2.41)$$

The harmonic part of $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A$ is the new invariant (see [6] for details) possibly nontrivial for NEHs of base space topology different than S^n . As the space of harmonic 1-forms is finite-dimensional, the degrees of freedom identified with the harmonic component of the rotation 1-form potential are global in the character.

2. Jezierski-Kijowski null vector field

Given a nowhere vanishing null vector field $\ell_o \in \Gamma(T(\Delta))$ such that $\kappa^{(\ell_o)} = 0$ the rotation 1-form $\omega^{(\ell_o)}$ corresponding to it is a pull-back of the projective rotation 1-form $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}$. Suppose $\ell'_o = f \ell_o$ is another null vector field such that its surface gravity (2.9) vanishes. Then its rotation 1-form $\omega^{(\ell'_o)}$ is related to $\omega^{(\ell_o)}$ via (2.14) the following way:

$$\Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell'_o)}_a = \Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}_a + D_a \ln f. \quad (2.42)$$

The same transformation rule implies that $\mathcal{L}_{\ell_o} f = 0$, hence there exists function $\hat{f} : \hat{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $D_a \ln f = \Pi^*(\hat{D} \ln \hat{f})_a$. The equation (2.42) can be then written down as an expression involving objects defined on $\hat{\Delta}$ only

$$\hat{\omega}^{(\ell'_o)}_A = \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}_A + \hat{D}_A \ln \hat{f}. \quad (2.43)$$

In particular $\hat{f}$ can be chosen such that $\hat{D}_A \ln \hat{f} = -\hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)\text{ex}}_A$ implying

$$\hat{\omega}^{(\ell'_o)\text{ex}}_A = 0. \quad (2.44)$$

Due to the uniqueness of Hodge decomposition the function $\hat{f}$ chosen that way is unique at Δ up to multiplication by a constant, so is the vector field ℓ_o satisfying (2.44). We will denote that null field by $\ell_{\hat{o}}$ and refer to it as the *Jezierski-Kijowski* (J-K) null vector field [12].

3. Degrees of freedom

Let ℓ^a , v and n_a be still the same, respectively, vector field, a compatible coordinate and a covector field specified at the begin of this subsection. The covariant derivative D_a is characterized by the elements $\omega^{(\ell)}$, S_{ab} (defined in section II B), subject to the constraints (2.16, 2.17). Suppose the Einstein equations with a (possibly zero) cosmological constant are satisfied on Δ , and the field equations of the matter fields possibly present on Δ imply that on each non-expanding surface⁷

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell T_{ab} = 0, \quad \ell^a T_{ab} = 0, \quad (2.45)$$

⁷ The conditions below are satisfied for example by the Maxwell field in 4-dimensional spacetime

where T_{ab} is a pull-back to Δ of the matter energy-momentum tensor.
The geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) can be completely characterized by the following data:

(i) defined on the space of the null geodesics $\hat{\Delta}$:

- the projective metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$ (2.34).
- the projective rotation 1-form potential $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A$ (2.37)
- the projective transversal expansion-shear data $\hat{S}^o_{AB}$ (see (2.46) below)

(ii) the values of the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ and the cosmological constant Λ ,

(iii) (in non-vacuum case) the projective matter energy-momentum tensor $\hat{T}_{AB}$ defined via $T_{ab} = (\Pi^* \hat{T})_{ab}$,

where the projective transversal expansion-shear data $\hat{S}^o_{AB}$ is a tensor defined on $\hat{\Delta}$ by the following form of a general solution to (2.31),

$$\tilde{S}_{AB} = \begin{cases} v \tilde{q}^a_A \tilde{q}^b_B \left((\Pi^* \hat{D} \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)})_{(ab)} + (\Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)})_a (\Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)})_b + \frac{1}{2} (\Pi^* \hat{T})_{ab} \right) + \\ \quad + v \left(-\frac{1}{2} {}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \tilde{q}_{AB} \right) + \tilde{q}^a_A \tilde{q}^b_B (\Pi^* \hat{S}^o)_{ab} & \text{for } \kappa^{(\ell)} = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\kappa^{(\ell)}} \tilde{q}^a_A \tilde{q}^b_B \left((\Pi^* \hat{D} \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)})_{(ab)} + (\Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)})_a (\Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)})_b + \frac{1}{2} (\Pi^* \hat{T})_{ab} \right) + \\ \quad + \frac{1}{\kappa^{(\ell)}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} {}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \tilde{q}_{AB} \right) + e^{-\kappa^{(\ell)} v} \tilde{q}^a_A \tilde{q}^b_B (\Pi^* \hat{S}^o)_{ab} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.46)$$

A part of data depends on the choice of the vector field ℓ^a and the compatible coordinate v . Given ℓ^a such that $\kappa^{(\ell)} \neq 0$, the compatible coordinate v can be fixed up to a constant by requiring that the exact part in the Hodge decomposition of the projective rotation 1-form potential $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A$ vanishes (see subsection II C 1). The vector ℓ^a itself, generically, can be fixed up to a constant factor by requiring that the projective transversal expansion-shear data $\hat{S}^o_{AB}$ be traceless.

Finally, the remaining rescaling freedom by a constant can be removed by fixing the value of the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ arbitrarily (the area of Δ can be used as a quantity providing the appropriate units).

D. Abstract NEH geometry, maximal analytic extension

1. Abstract NES/NEH geometry

Non-expanding null-surface/horizon geometry can be defined more abstractly. Consider an $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold Δ . Let q_{ab} be a symmetric tensor of the signature $(0, +, \dots, +)$. Let D_a be a covariant, torsion free derivative such that

$$D_a q_{bc} = 0. \quad (2.47)$$

A vector ℓ^a tangent to Δ is called null whenever

$$\ell^a q_{ab} = 0. \quad (2.48)$$

Even-though we are not assuming any symmetry, every null vector field ℓ^a is a symmetry of q_{ab} ,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell q_{ab} = 0. \quad (2.49)$$

Given a null vector field ℓ^a , we can repeat the definitions of section II A and associate to it the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$, and the rotation 1-form potential $\omega^{(\ell)}$. Now, an Einstein constraint corresponding to a matter energy-momentum tensor T_{ab} satisfying (2.45) can be defined as an equation on the geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) per analogy with the non-expanding null surface case. To spell it out we need one more definition. Introduce on Δ a symmetric tensor ${}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{ab}$, such that for every $(n-2)$ -subsurface contained in Δ the pullback of ${}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{ab}$ to the subsurface coincides with the Ricci tensor of the induced metric, provided the induced metric is non-degenerate. The constraint is defined as

$$[\mathcal{L}_\ell, D_a]_c^b = \ell^b \left[(D_a \omega^{(\ell)}_c) + \omega^{(\ell)}_a \omega^{(\ell)}_c - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda q_{ac} \right] - \frac{1}{2} {}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{ac} + \frac{1}{2} T_{ac}, \quad (2.50)$$

(where T_{ab} is a symmetric tensor satisfying (2.45)) and it involves an arbitrary cosmological constant Λ .

Suppose now, that

$$\Delta = \hat{\Delta} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.51)$$

and the tensor q_{ab} is the product tensor defined naturally by a metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$ defined in $\hat{\Delta}$ and the identically zero tensor defined in $\mathbb{R}$. The analysis of subsections II B, II C can be repeated for solutions of the Einstein constraint (2.50). Again the base space $\hat{\Delta}$ is equipped with the data specified in section II C 3, that is the projective: metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$, rotation 1-form potential $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A$, transversal expansion-shear data $\hat{S}^o_{AB}$, matter energy-momentum tensor $\hat{T}_{AB}$. Completed by the values of the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ and the cosmological constant Λ the data is free, in the sense that every data set defines a single solution (q_{ab}, D_a) .

2. Maximal analytic extension

Suppose Δ is an abstract non-expanding horizon described above. Suppose also that u is an affine parameter defined globally on Δ and parametrizing its null curves

$$\ell_o^a u_{,a} = 1, \quad \kappa^{(\ell_o)} = 0. \quad (2.52)$$

Given on the horizon base space any local coordinate system $(\hat{x}^A) = (\hat{x}^1, \dots, \hat{x}^{n-2})$ one can define the coordinate system $(x^a) = (x^A, u)$ at Δ where

$$x^A := \Pi^* \hat{x}^A, \quad (2.53)$$

and Π is a projection defined via (2.33). In the corresponding frame $e_b^a = x_b^a$, the coordinate component of metric tensor q_{ab} are constant along the null curves whereas the components of D_a are determined by the projective data via equations⁸ (2.37, 2.46)⁹ (with v in (2.46) replaced by u). Hence all the geometry components depend analytically on the parameter u . Considered abstract Δ can be then extended in the parameter u such that its geometry components are determined by the equations (2.34, 2.37, 2.46) and all the null geodesics are complete. Such extension is regular due to finiteness of the solutions to the system (2.34, 2.37, 2.46) for finite u . Also, as every two affine parameters u, u' correspond to each other the following way

$$u' = au + b, \quad (2.54)$$

(where a, b are constant along the null geodesics) the analyticity and the extension of (Δ, q_{ab}, D_a) do not depend on a choice of an affine parameter on Δ . We will denote this extension by $\bar{\Delta}$ and refer to it as the maximal extension of a non-expanding horizon/null surface (MAENEH/MAENES). The coordinate system (x^A, u) defined via ((2.52), 2.53) extends straightforward onto $\bar{\Delta}$.

III. SYMMETRIES: DEFINITION AND BASIC PROPERTIES

A. The definitions

Definition III.1. *Given a non-expanding horizon Δ of the induced metric q_{ab} and covariant derivative D_a , a vector field $X \in \Gamma(T(\Delta))$ will be called an infinitesimal symmetry if*

$$\mathcal{L}_X q_{ab} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathcal{L}_X, D_a] = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

A non-expanding horizon Δ admitting an infinitesimal symmetry X will be referred to as *symmetric*. An example of a symmetric NEH is an Isolated Horizon [3, 6], that is a NEH which admits a null infinitesimal symmetry additionally assumed to be nowhere vanishing.

A (locally defined) diffeomorphism $U : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is called a (local) *symmetry* of a NEH Δ if it preserves the horizon geometry (q_{ab}, D_a) .

⁸ We still assume that matter fields satisfy the conditions (2.45).

⁹ Case $\kappa^{(\ell)} = 0$.

Given an infinitesimal symmetry X of a NEH, consider the corresponding local diffeomorphism flow U_t , that is a family of local diffeomorphisms labeled by a real parameter t , such that

$$U_t \circ U_{t'} = U_{t+t'} , \quad U_0 = \text{Identity} , \quad X^a D_a f = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} U_t^* f , \quad (3.2)$$

for every function f . The flow preserves all the structures defined by the horizon geometry. For example a function $u : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that restricted to every null geodesic curve in Δ becomes an affine parametrization – we refer to u briefly as *an affine parameter on Δ* – is mapped by the pull back into a locally defined affine parameter on Δ . The properties implied by the preservation of the horizon geometry are enlisted below in the following:

Corollary III.2. *Suppose X is an infinitesimal symmetry of a horizon, and U_t is the corresponding local diffeomorphism flow. Then the following is true:*

(i) *For every null vector field $\ell \in \Gamma(T(\Delta))$*

$$\mathcal{L}_X \ell^a = a \ell^a , \quad (3.3)$$

where a is a function depending on ℓ ,

(ii) *provided the surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ of the vector field ℓ is constant on Δ ,*

$$\kappa^{(U_{t*}\ell)} = \kappa^{(\ell)} , \quad (3.4)$$

(iii) *the Jezierski-Kijowski vector $\ell_{\mathcal{O}}$ is preserved up to a multiplicative constant*

$$\mathcal{L}_X \ell_{\mathcal{O}} = -\kappa^{(X)} \ell_{\mathcal{O}} , \quad \kappa^{(X)} = \text{const} , \quad (3.5)$$

(iv) *every affine parameter u on Δ is mapped by the pull back in the following way*

$$U_t^* u = au + b , \quad (3.6)$$

where a, b are functions constant along null geodesics at Δ . In particular, the parameter $\bar{v}$ compatible with J-K vector $\ell_{\mathcal{O}}$ transforms upon the action U_t as follows

$$U_t^* \bar{v} = e^{\kappa^{(X)} t} \bar{v} + b , \quad (3.7)$$

where b is constant along null geodesics and the constant $\kappa^{(X)}$ is defined in (3.5).

Proof. Indeed, the point (i) follows from the first equation in definition 3.1 and from the fact that ℓ is tangent to the unique degenerate direction of q_{ab} .

The point (ii) follows from the following equation

$$(U_{t*} \ell^a) D_a U_{t*} \ell = U_{t*} (\kappa^{(\ell)} \ell) = \kappa^{(\ell)} U_{t*} \ell =: \kappa^{(U_{t*} \ell)} U_{t*} \ell . \quad (3.8)$$

The uniqueness (up to multiplicative constant) of J-K vector implies (iii).

The transformation law (3.7) follows from the integration of (3.5). $\square$

The constant $\kappa^{(X)}$ assigned to an infinitesimal symmetry X in (3.5) will play an important role in the analysis of the symmetric NEHs. Some of our conclusions will be sensitive on the vanishing of $\kappa^{(X)}$:

Definition III.3. *An infinitesimal symmetry X of a NEH is called extremal if*

$$\kappa^{(X)} = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Otherwise X is called a non-extremal infinitesimal symmetry.

Note that the symmetry group generated by an extremal infinitesimal symmetry preserves the Jezierski-Kijowski vector.

As it was explained in the previous section, we will consider in this paper those NEHs whose geometry is analytic in (any and each) affine parameter u defined on Δ . That assumption is enforced by the Einstein equations for vacuum or a large class of matter fields. The analyticity led to the definition of the maximal analytic extension of a given NEH Δ and its geometry. Since the extension exists and is unique, it can be always taken. Therefore, given an infinitesimal symmetry of a NEH, it is natural to ask, whether it is also analytic in an affine parameter. The answer is in the affirmative:

Proposition III.4. *Suppose Δ is a NEH and X is an infinitesimal symmetry. Then:*

- (i) *in every local coordinate system of the form (2.52, 2.53) X is analytic in the affine parameter u ,*
- (ii) *there is uniquely defined analytic extension $\bar{X}$ of X to the maximal analytic extension $\bar{\Delta}$*
- (iii) *$\bar{X}$ is an infinitesimal symmetry of the MAENEH $\bar{\Delta}$,*
- (iv) *$\bar{X}$ generates a group of globally defined symmetries of $\bar{\Delta}$.*

Proof. Consider a coordinate system $(x^1, \dots, x^{N-2}, u) = (x^A, u)$ defined in (2.52, 2.53). We have

$$X = X^A \partial_A + X^u \partial_u \quad (3.10)$$

It follows from corollary III.2(i) that

$$\partial_u X^A = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

hence they are analytic in u in the trivial way. The function X^u , on the other hand, according to (3.6) is at most linear in u . Therefore indeed, X is analytic in u and extendable to the maximal analytic extension $\bar{\Delta}$. Via the analyticity it continues to be the extended infinitesimal symmetry of the horizon. Therefore, we may assume that Δ is maximal in the sense it equals its maximal analytic extension. Given an affine parameter u on Δ , there is defined a diffeomorphism

$$\Delta \rightarrow \hat{\Delta} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad p \mapsto (\Pi(p), u(p)). \quad (3.12)$$

The local diffeomorphism flow U_t of the vector field X considered in corollary III.2 can be defined for every compact subset of the horizon Δ , provided t is adjusted appropriately to the subset. Note first, that due to corollary III.2 i, there is a vector field $\hat{X} \in \Gamma(T(\hat{\Delta}))$ uniquely defined by the projections of X ,

$$\hat{X} = \Pi_* X. \quad (3.13)$$

Because $\hat{\Delta}$ is compact, the flow of the vector field $\hat{X}$ is a 1-dimensional group of globally defined diffeomorphisms $\hat{U}_t : \hat{\Delta} \rightarrow \hat{\Delta}$. Now, the action of the flow U_t in $\Delta = \hat{\Delta} \times \mathbb{R}$ has the form

$$U_t(\hat{p}, u) = (\hat{U}_t(\hat{p}), V_{t,\hat{p}}(u)), \quad (3.14)$$

where the $\hat{U}_t$ is the diffeomorphism flow of $\hat{X}$ independent of u . As far as the function $V_{t,\hat{p}}$ is concerned, it follows from (3.6), it is at most linear (that is affine). Therefore, given value t it is defined on the entire Δ , and, in the consequence, it is well defined for every value of t . $\square$

An important technical consequence of the existence of the globally defined flow of the infinitesimal symmetry $\bar{X}$ of the maximal analytic extension $\bar{\Delta}$, is that the function b defined in (3.7) (depending on the label t) is globally defined on Δ , and in fact

$$b = \Pi^* \hat{b} \quad (3.15)$$

for some globally defined function $\hat{b} : \hat{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition III.4 together with the results of subsection IID imply that in the analysis of symmetries one can then directly use the extended objects defined on MAENEH $\bar{\Delta}$. Therefore:

Remark III.5. *From now on we will identify the NEH Δ (and objects defined on it) with its analytic extension $\bar{\Delta}$ (and extensions of objects defined on Δ respectively), thus dropping the 'bars' in the notation.*

B. Symmetry induced on the base space

For the existence of a not everywhere null infinitesimal symmetry of a NEH Δ , necessary conditions have to be satisfied by the Riemannian geometry of the horizon base space $\hat{\Delta}$. It follows from corollary III.2 that the projection of X onto horizon base space $\hat{\Delta}$ defines a unique vector field $\hat{X} \in T(\hat{\Delta})$.

The equation constituted by the pull-back of (3.1a) onto $\hat{\Delta}$ yields that the projected field satisfies the condition

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}} \hat{q}_{AB} = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Hence non-null symmetry of the horizon generates a symmetry of $\hat{q}_{AB}$. The field $\hat{X}$ will be referred to as the *infinitesimal symmetry induced by X* . It generates a flow $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \hat{U}_t$ of globally defined isometries of $\hat{\Delta}$.

Those properties allows us to classify the non-null horizon symmetries with respect to the classification of the Killing fields of the compact Riemann geometry of $\hat{\Delta}$. In particular the classification of symmetric geometries defined on S^2 [2] is applied in section VIID where complete classification of the symmetric 3-dimensional NEHs (in 4-dimensional spacetime) is presented.

IV. NULL SYMMETRIES

Definition IV.1. *If an infinitesimal symmetry X of a NEH Δ is a null vector field, then:*

- (i) *X is called a null infinitesimal symmetry,*
- (ii) *the symmetry group generated by X on Δ is called a null symmetry group.*

A NEH admitting an infinitesimal null symmetry (denoted here by ℓ) will be referred to as a *null symmetric* horizon. An important class among such horizons is constituted by *isolated horizons* (IH): the ones whose null infinitesimal symmetries nowhere vanish. The detailed analysis of their geometry can be found in [3, 6]. The general null symmetry case, however, requires more care, because, unlike in the IH case, now we *do not assume ℓ does not vanish*.

A. Non-extremal null symmetry in arbitrary dimension

Theorem IV.2. *Suppose ℓ is a null non-extremal infinitesimal symmetry of a NEH Δ . Then the zero set of ℓ is a global section of the projection $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow \hat{\Delta}$, provided the Stronger Energy Condition II.2 holds for matter fields present on Δ .*

Proof. Fix on Δ a null vector field ℓ_o such that $\kappa^{(\ell_o)} = 0$ and a coordinate v compatible with it.

The non-extremal null infinitesimal symmetry ℓ on Δ can be expressed by ℓ_o as

$$\ell = f \ell_o , \quad (4.1)$$

where the form of the proportionality coefficient f is determined by (2.39)

$$f = \kappa^{(\ell)} v + B , \quad (4.2)$$

and B is a real function defined on the entire Δ . Now, the zero set of ℓ is given by the equation

$$v = -\frac{B}{\kappa^{(\ell)}} = 0 . \quad (4.3)$$

□

The zero set of a non-extremal null infinitesimal symmetry is called its cross-over surface.

B. Extremal null symmetry in arbitrary dimension

Theorem IV.3. *Suppose a NEH Δ admits a null and extremal infinitesimal symmetry ℓ . Suppose also that the pull-back T_{ab} onto Δ of the energy-momentum tensor of the matter fields possibly present on Δ satisfies the condition 2.45. Then the following holds:*

- *The infinitesimal symmetry ℓ doesn't vanish at a(n open and) dense subset of $\bar{\Delta}$.*
- *If ℓ vanishes at some point $p \in \Delta$ it also vanishes at the entire null geodesics intersecting p . The set of null geodesics on which $\ell = 0$ forms in $\hat{\Delta}$ a surface defined by the equation*

$$\hat{B} = 0 , \quad (4.4)$$

where $\hat{B}$ is a real valued function defined on $\hat{\Delta}$ such that for every $\hat{p} \in \hat{\Delta}$

$$\hat{B}(\hat{p}) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{d}\hat{B}(p) \neq 0 . \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Let ℓ_o be a globally defined on Δ null vector field tangent to Δ and such that $\kappa^{(\ell_o)} = 0$. Due to (2.39) there is a function $\hat{B}$ defined on $\hat{\Delta}$ such that

$$\ell = B \ell_o , \quad B = \pi^* \hat{B} . \quad (4.6)$$

In consequence, if ℓ vanishes at some point $p \in \Delta$ it also vanishes at the entire null geodesics $\hat{p}$.

Denote the set formed by geodesics on which $\hat{B} \neq 0$ by $\hat{U} \subset \hat{\Delta}$. As ℓ is a null infinitesimal symmetry, the Lie derivative N'_{ab} of the transversal expansion-shear tensor corresponding to the coordinate compatible with ℓ vanishes (see (2.16, 2.29, 3.1b)) everywhere where $\ell \neq 0$. That leads to the following constraint on B

$$BN_{bc} + \omega^{(\ell)}_c D_b B + \omega^{(\ell)}_b D_c B + D_b D_c B = BN'_{bc} = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

where $N_{ab} := \mathcal{L}_{\ell_o} S_{ab}$ with S_{ab} (defined via (2.26)) being associated with the coordinate v compatible with ℓ_o .

Projecting this equation onto surfaces $v = \text{const}$ and expressing the projected objects by projective data via (2.46) we find that $\hat{B}$ satisfies the following PDE

$$\left[\hat{D}_A \hat{D}_B + 2\hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}_{(A} \hat{D}_{B)} + (\hat{D}_{(A} \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}_{B)}) + \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}_A \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}_B - \frac{1}{2} {}^{(n-2)}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \hat{q}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{T}_{AB} \right] \hat{B} = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

(where $T_{ab} = \Pi^* \hat{T}_{ab}$) for the function $\hat{B}$ on $\hat{\Delta}$.

Note that the above equation holds on the entire $\hat{\Delta}$ as it is satisfied on the closure of the subset such that $\hat{B} \neq 0$ on the one hand, and on the other hand it holds trivially on the remaining open subset since $\hat{B} = 0 = \hat{D}_A \hat{B} = \hat{D}_A \hat{D}_B \hat{B}$ therein.

For every solution $\hat{B}$ to this equation the following is true

Lemma IV.4. *Suppose $\hat{B}$ is a solution to the equation (4.8). If $\hat{B}(p) = d\hat{B}(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in \hat{\Delta}$ then $\hat{B}$ vanishes on the entire $\hat{\Delta}$.*

Proof of the Lemma IV.4. Consider on $\hat{\Delta}$ a geodesics $\hat{\gamma}$ parametrized by an affine parameter x . Taking the (double) contraction of the equation (4.8) with the vector $\dot{\gamma}^A$ tangent to $\hat{\gamma}$ we obtain the following constraint for the value of function $\hat{B}$ at $\hat{\gamma}$

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 2\hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}_{\hat{X}} \frac{d}{dx} + \left(\frac{d}{dx} \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}_{\hat{\gamma}} \right) + \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)2}_{\hat{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2} {}^{(n-2)}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda |\dot{\gamma}^A|_q^2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{T}_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\gamma}} \right] \hat{B} = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}_{\hat{\gamma}} := \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)}_A \dot{\gamma}^A$, ${}^{(n-2)}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\gamma}} := {}^{(n-2)}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} \dot{\gamma}^A \dot{\gamma}^B$ and $\hat{T}_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\gamma}} := \hat{T}_{AB} \dot{\gamma}^A \dot{\gamma}^B$.

This equation constitutes a linear homogeneous ODE for $\hat{B}$. We assume that the geometry of considered NEH is regular so is projective geometry induced on $\hat{\Delta}$. Thus the equation satisfies Lipschitz rule. In consequence the value of $\hat{B}$ at the entire $\hat{\gamma}$ is determined by initial values $\hat{B}$ and $\frac{d}{dx} \hat{B}$ at some starting point $p \in \hat{\gamma}$.

Suppose now, that there exists on $\hat{\Delta}$ the point p_o such that $\hat{B}|_{p_o} = d\hat{B}|_{p_o} = 0$. Then on every geodesics $\hat{\gamma}$ intersecting p_o the function $\hat{B}$ vanishes as $\hat{B} = 0$ is an unique solution to the initial value problem $\hat{B}|_{p_o} = \frac{d}{dx} \hat{B}|_{p_o} = 0$. On the other hand every two points on the connected manifold can be connected via geodesics, hence $\hat{B}$ vanishes on the entire $\hat{\Delta}$. $\square$

From the Lemma IV.4 follows immediately that the gradient of $\hat{B}$ cannot vanish at the point on which $\hat{B} = 0$. $\square$

C. Higher-dimensional null symmetry group

Given a NEH(MAENEH) Δ admitting an infinitesimal null symmetry ℓ there may exist another, linearly independent null infinitesimal symmetry ℓ' . The consequences of the existence of two null symmetries in the case both of them nowhere vanish have been considered (for arbitrary dimension and base space topology) in [6]. The theorems IV.2 and IV.3 however allow the straightforward generalization of those results: as for given infinitesimal null symmetry ℓ of a NEH Δ the subset of Δ on which $\ell \neq 0$ is dense in Δ the analysis done in [6] can be repeated directly for arbitrary null infinitesimal symmetry. Thus the following is true:

Theorem IV.5. *Suppose Δ is a NEH admitting two distinct infinitesimal null symmetries. Suppose also the Stronger Energy Condition (II.2) holds on Δ . Then Δ admits also an extremal (see Def. III.3) infinitesimal null symmetry.*

V. CYCLIC AND AXIAL SYMMETRIES

A. Definition, preferred slices

Definition V.1. *Given a NEH Δ a vector field $\Phi^a \in T\Delta$ is cyclic infinitesimal symmetry whenever the following holds:*

- Φ^a is an infinitesimal symmetry of Δ (satisfies the equations (III.1)),
- the symmetry group of Δ it generates is diffeomorphic to $SO(2)$,
- Φ^a is spacelike at the points it doesn't vanish.

We will be assuming an infinitesimal cyclic symmetry is normalized, such that the flow $\mathbb{R} \ni \varphi \mapsto U_\varphi$ it generates has the period 2π .

A NEH admitting an infinitesimal cyclic symmetry will be referred to as the *circular* horizon.

If the group of the symmetries of Δ generated by a cyclic infinitesimal symmetry has a fixed point, then we call the infinitesimal symmetry *axial*. A NEH admitting such a symmetry will be then called an *axial* horizon.

In this subsection we study circular NEHs of arbitrary dimension and topology. All the statements made here apply in particular to axial horizons. Of course all the circular horizons such that $\hat{\Delta} = S^2$ are necessary axial, but when dealing with general horizons we need to relax the axis existence assumption. An event horizon (of the base space topology $S^2 \times S^1$) admitted by a spacetime described in [25] is a good example of circular (and not axial) NEH as it admits the symmetry induced by axial Killing field which has no fixed points at the horizon.

For every cyclic (axial) infinitesimal symmetry Φ^a the corresponding projective field $\hat{\Phi}^A = \pi_* \Phi^a$ also generates an isometric action of $SO(2)$ on $\hat{\Delta}$ (which has a fixed point). The flow will be denoted by $\mathbb{R} \ni \varphi \mapsto \hat{U}_\varphi$. The integral lines of both Φ^a at Δ and $\hat{\Phi}^A$ at $\hat{\Delta}$ are closed.

For every circular NEH Δ , the cyclic infinitesimal symmetry Φ^a is extremal:

Lemma V.2. *Suppose Δ is a circular NEH and Φ^a is its cyclic infinitesimal symmetry. Then Φ^a commutes with the Jezierski-Kijowski vector field,*

$$\mathcal{L}_\Phi \ell_{\hat{\mathbf{o}}} = 0 . \quad (5.1)$$

Moreover, there is on Δ a coordinate u compatible with $\ell_{\hat{\mathbf{o}}}$ such that

$$\ell_{\hat{\mathbf{o}}}^a D_a u = 0 . \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Indeed elements of the symmetry group generated by the cyclic infinitesimal symmetry Φ^a can be labeled by a parameter, $[0, 2\pi] \ni \varphi \mapsto U_\varphi$ such that

$$U_{\varphi_1} \circ U_{\varphi_2} = U_{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2} , \quad U_0 = U_{2\pi} = \text{Identity} . \quad (5.3)$$

On the other hand the transformation of $\ell_{\hat{\mathbf{o}}}$ upon U_φ is determined by (3.7)

$$U_{\varphi*} \ell_{\hat{\mathbf{o}}} = e^{\kappa^{(\Phi)} \varphi} \ell_{\hat{\mathbf{o}}} . \quad (5.4)$$

Therefore $\kappa^{(\Phi)}$ vanishes and $\ell_{\hat{\mathbf{o}}}$ is invariant.

Let $u' : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any coordinate compatible with $\ell_{\hat{\mathbf{o}}}$. Consider the average over a cyclic symmetry group

$$u(p) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u'(U_\varphi(p)) d\varphi . \quad (5.5)$$

It follows from (5.1) that

$$\ell_{\hat{\mathbf{o}}}^a D_a u = 1 . \quad (5.6)$$

The condition 5.2 follows from the invariance of the measure $d\varphi$. Also, the function u is as many times differentiable as the integrand u' . $\square$

We can summarize the observations made in this subsection by the following:

Corollary V.3. *Suppose a non-expanding horizon Δ admits a cyclic infinitesimal symmetry Φ^a . Suppose also that $\ell \in \Gamma(L)$ is such that*

$$\kappa^{(\ell)} = \text{const} , \quad [\Phi, \ell] = 0 , \quad (5.7)$$

Then, there exists a diffeomorphism

$$h : \Delta \rightarrow \hat{\Delta} \times \mathbb{R} \quad (5.8)$$

such that

$$h_* \Phi = (\hat{\Phi}, 0) , \quad h_* \ell = (0, \partial_u) , \quad (5.9)$$

where $\hat{\Phi} = \pi_* \Phi$. In particular, this is true for $\ell = \ell_{\hat{\mathbf{o}}}$.

B. Cyclic symmetry and null symmetry

Consider a NEH Δ which admits two infinitesimal symmetries, a cyclic one Φ and a null one ℓ .

If Δ admits exactly one (modulo a rescaling) null infinitesimal symmetry or if Δ admits exactly one (modulo a rescaling) *extremal* null infinitesimal symmetry (and possibly other null infinitesimal symmetries) then arguments similar to those used in the proof of Lemma V.2 show that Φ necessarily commutes with the vector field ℓ . In general, when no uniqueness is assumed, the following can be shown:

Corollary V.4. *Suppose a non-expanding horizon Δ admits a cyclic infinitesimal symmetry Φ and a non-extremal null infinitesimal symmetry ℓ' . Then, Δ admits a null non-extremal infinitesimal symmetry ℓ commuting with Φ ,*

$$[\Phi, \ell] = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. Consider the group average

$$\ell = \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi U_{\varphi*} \ell'. \quad (5.11)$$

The resulting vector field necessarily commutes with Φ . It is also a null infinitesimal symmetry *or* it is identically zero. However, since the symmetry ℓ' is non-extremal, according to the Theorem IV.2 it vanishes on a single slice (the cross-over surface) of Δ only. Both the cross-over surface and cyclic symmetry group are compact, hence the segment of Δ formed by the orbits of Φ intersecting the cross-over surface is also compact. Therefore there exists on Δ an open set such that ℓ doesn't vanish on it. As the surface gravity of ℓ' is preserved by every symmetry U_{φ} (and in the consequence by the group averaging) ℓ is also non-extremal, hence it vanishes only at a single slice of Δ . $\square$

Our conclusions do not apply to the case of a NEH which admits *two distinct* symmetry groups each generated by a null extremal infinitesimal symmetry.

VI. HELICAL SYMMETRY

As in section VI the studies here are general. We consider here an $n \geq 3$ spacetime case and maximal analytically extended NEHs (MAENEHs).

A. Definition

Definition VI.1. *An infinitesimal symmetry X^a of a NEH Δ is called helical if*

- *The symmetry group generated by the projection $\hat{X}^A$ of X^a onto the base space $\hat{\Delta}$ is diffeomorphic to $SO(2)$,*
- *there exists an orbit of the symmetry group generated by X^a in Δ which is not closed (i.e. diffeomorphic to a line).*

A NEH admitting a helical infinitesimal symmetry will be called helical.

We will be assuming that each considered helical infinitesimal symmetry is normalized such that the isometry flow $\mathbb{R} \ni \varphi \mapsto \hat{U}_{\varphi}$ generated by the projection $\hat{X}^A$ has the period 2π .

As we will see below, the presence of a 1-dimensional helical symmetry and the constraints imply more symmetries. In fact, every horizon admitting the helical symmetry admits also a cyclic and null symmetry. The proof of this statement will be divided onto few steps:

- First we will construct some uniquely defined null vector field tangent to Δ and commuting with the helical infinitesimal symmetry. Since the construction is a generalization of Hawking's proof of the BH Rigidity Theorem, we name our vector field after Hawking. We will treat separately the two cases: 'extremal' and 'non-extremal' helical infinitesimal symmetry (see definition III.3).
- Next it will be shown that the Hawking vector is a null infinitesimal symmetry. In the consequence, the difference between the helical infinitesimal symmetry and the corresponding Hawking vector is a cyclic infinitesimal symmetry.

Once proved, the existence of the null infinitesimal symmetry will ensure via theorems IV.2, IV.3 that the set of open orbits of X is a dense subset of Δ .

We will see in the next section, that every symmetric electrovac NEH of the topology $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is either null symmetric, or axial, or helical. Also, in that case the null symmetry (see theorems IV.2 and VII.1) can vanish only at a single slice of Δ , hence every helical NEH in that case either is an axial isolated horizon or consists of two IHs separated by a cross-over surface (see theorem VII.6).

1. Helical symmetry general properties

Consider a helical NEH Δ . It is equipped with the Jezierski-Kijowski null field $\ell_{\bar{o}}$ (see the subsection II C). Let $\bar{v}$ be a coordinate compatible with $\ell_{\bar{o}}$. The commutator of $\ell_{\bar{o}}$ and X is determined via (3.5) by the global constant $\kappa^{(X)}$ of the horizon. It will be shown that presence of a non-extremal (extremal) helical symmetry imposes the presence of a non-extremal (extremal) null symmetry.

We are assuming X is normalized such that the symmetry flow $\mathbb{R} \ni \phi \mapsto U_\phi$ of X induces in $\hat{\Delta}$ an isometry flow $\mathbb{R} \ni \phi \mapsto \hat{U}_\phi$ of the period 2π . With the group parametrization set as above an action of considered symmetry on $\ell_{\bar{o}}$ rescales $\ell_{\bar{o}}$ (due to (3.7)) as follows:

$$U_{\phi*} \ell_{\bar{o}} = e^{\kappa^{(X)} \phi} \ell_{\bar{o}} . \quad (6.1)$$

An action $U_{2\pi}$ preserves every null geodesic curve. Therefore we can define at Δ the function $s(p)$ such that to each point p it assigns the 'jump value' corresponding to an action $U_{2\pi}$ of a helical symmetry

$$s(p) := \bar{v}(U_{2\pi}(p)) - \bar{v}(p) . \quad (6.2)$$

The 'jump function' s is defined globally at Δ and differentiable as many times as the symmetry generator X .

B. The Hawking vector field

Definition VI.2. A Hawking vector field corresponding to a helical infinitesimal symmetry X of a NEH Δ is a null vector field $\ell_{(X)} \in \Gamma(T(\Delta))$ of the following properties:

- (a) The surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})}$ of $\ell_{(X)}$ is constant on Δ ,
- (b)
$$[\ell_{(X)}, X] = 0 . \quad (6.3)$$
- (c) Every maximal integral curve of the vector field $X - \ell_{(X)}$ is closed (diffeomorphic to S^1).

Below we will construct a Hawking vector field, given a helical infinitesimal symmetry.

First, we will establish a certain necessary condition for a null vector field to be the Hawking one.

In terms of the Jezierski-Kijowski vector field and coordinate $\bar{v}$ compatible to it, a Hawking field $\ell_{(X)}$ (if it exists) is of the following form

$$\ell_{(X)} = (\kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})} \bar{v} + b) \ell_{\bar{o}} , \quad (6.4)$$

where b is a function defined globally on Δ , constant along each null curve in Δ . It follows from the assumed commuting of $\ell_{(X)}$ with X , corollary III.2 (iii) and (3.6), that

$$\kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})} = \kappa^{(X)} . \quad (6.5)$$

Moreover there exists choice of the coordinate $\bar{v}$ compatible with $\ell_{\bar{o}}$ such that

$$X^a D_a b = 0 . \quad (6.6)$$

A Hawking vector field $\ell_{(X)}$ will be found for each case (non-extremal and extremal) independently. Also the (more general) method of systematic derivation of it will be presented in appendix C. Let us start with the case of extremal X first.

1. Extremal case

Suppose the helical infinitesimal symmetry X is extremal,

$$\kappa^{(X)} = 0 . \quad (6.7)$$

We will show that the vector field

$$\ell_{(X)} = \frac{s}{2\pi} \ell_{\bar{o}} , \quad (6.8)$$

is a corresponding Hawking vector, where s is the jump function (6.2). Indeed, the candidate satisfies (6.4), provided s has the symmetries of the function b . In the very case of $\kappa^{(X)} = 0$ the flow of $\ell_{\bar{o}}$ preserves (see (3.5)) X so the 'jump' function s is constant on each null curve. On the other hand, the preserving of $\ell_{\bar{o}}$ by the flow of X , implies then that s is constant along the orbits. Hence,

$$[\ell_{(X)}, X] = 0 . \quad (6.9)$$

To show that the orbits of $X - \ell_{(X)}$ are diffeomorphic to S^1 we develop the following construction.

Consider a non-degenerate orbit $\hat{\gamma}$ of the isometry group generated in $\hat{\Delta}$ by the vector field $\hat{X}$ ($= \pi_* X$). Let

$$C_{(\gamma)} = \pi^{-1}(\hat{\gamma}) , \quad (6.10)$$

that is $C_{(\gamma)}$ is the cylinder formed by all the null curves in Δ which correspond to points of $\hat{\gamma}$. If $s = 0$ on $C_{(\gamma)}$ then the orbits of X are closed and on the other hand $\ell_{(X)} = 0$ so $X - \ell_{(X)} = X$. Therefore suppose

$$s \neq 0 \quad \text{on } C_{(\gamma)} . \quad (6.11)$$

The idea is to construct a function $v : C_{(\gamma)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\ell_{(X)}^a D_a v = \frac{s}{2\pi} = X^a D_a v . \quad (6.12)$$

That is sufficient condition for the orbits of X contained in the cylinder to be closed.

We construct v as follows. On a single null curve $c_o \subset C_{(\gamma)}$ define v to be

$$v|_{c_o} := \bar{v} , \quad (6.13)$$

where $\bar{v}$ is the coordinate compatible with $\ell_{\bar{o}}$. On the curve

$$c_\phi = U_\phi(c_o) \quad (6.14)$$

for $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ define v by the pullback from c_o plus $\frac{s}{2\pi}\phi$, namely

$$v|_{c_\phi} = (U_{-\phi})^*(v|_{c_o}) + \frac{s}{2\pi}\phi . \quad (6.15)$$

Due to the definition of the jump function s , the resulting function v is differentiable at every point of the curve $c_{2\pi}$ as many times as $\bar{v}|_{C_{(\gamma)}}$.

It is also easy to see that v satisfies (6.12).

2. Non-extremal case

In general the diffeomorphism flow U_ϕ generated by considered infinitesimal symmetry transforms the coordinate $\bar{v}$ compatible with J-K null field as indicated by (3.7). This and the condition $\kappa^{(X)} \neq 0$ imply that there exists exactly one slice $\tilde{\Delta}_o$ of Δ invariant with respect to action of $U_{2\pi}$, that is

$$\exists! \tilde{\Delta}_o \subset \Delta \quad \forall_{p \in \tilde{\Delta}_o} \quad U_{2\pi}^* \bar{v}(p) = \bar{v}(p) . \quad (6.16)$$

The coordinate $\bar{v}$ takes on points $p \in \tilde{\Delta}_o$ the following values:

$$\forall_{p \in \tilde{\Delta}_o} \quad \bar{v}(p) = \frac{-\pi^* \hat{b}(\pi(p))}{e^{2\pi \kappa^{(X)}} - 1} , \quad (6.17)$$

where $b(p) =: \pi^* \hat{b}(\pi(p))$ (with b being the function defined via (3.7)). One can then easily construct another coordinate v compatible with $\ell_{\hat{o}}$ and such that $\hat{\Delta}_o = \{p \in \Delta : v(p) = 0\}$. It is indeed given by the formula

$$v(p) := \bar{v}(p) + \frac{\pi^* \hat{b}(\pi(p))}{e^{2\pi\kappa(X)} - 1}. \quad (6.18)$$

The diffeomorphism U_ϕ transforms the new coordinate as follows

$$U(\phi)v = e^{\kappa^{(X)}\phi}v. \quad (6.19)$$

Let us now choose the field $\ell_{(X)}$ such that

$$\ell_{(X)} := \kappa^{(X)}v\ell_{\hat{o}}. \quad (6.20)$$

Due to (3.5) the field $X - \ell_{(X)}$ commutes with $\ell_{\hat{o}}$

$$[\ell_{\hat{o}}, X - \ell_{(X)}] = 0, \quad (6.21)$$

so the flow $[\ell_{\hat{o}}]$ maps each orbit of $X - \ell_{(X)}$ onto another one. Also on the slice $\hat{\Delta}_o$ the considered field $(X - \ell_{(X)})$ is tangent to it (as action U_ϕ preserves the slice due to (6.19)). That, together with the fact that the flow $[\ell_{\hat{o}}]$ maps $\hat{\Delta}_o$ onto $\hat{\Delta}_v := \{p \in \Delta : v(p) = \text{const}\}$ imply that $X - \ell_{(X)}$ is tangent to each surface $v = \text{const}$. Its orbits are then closed and diffeomorphic to $S(1)$ (thus $\ell_{(X)}$ satisfies the property (c) of definition VI.2). Also the flow of $\ell_{(X)}$ preserves the infinitesimal symmetry X

$$[\ell_{(X)}, X]^a = [\ell_{(X)}, X - \ell_{(X)}]^a = v[\ell_{\hat{o}}, X - \ell_{(X)}]^a - (X^b - \ell_{(X)}^b)D_b v \ell_{\hat{o}}^a = 0, \quad (6.22)$$

and its surface gravity is constant

$$\kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})} = \kappa^{(X)}\ell_{\hat{o}}^a D_a v = \kappa^{(X)}, \quad (6.23)$$

so it finally satisfies all the requirements for a Hawking null vector (see definition VI.2).

The subsection can be summarized by the following:

Corollary VI.3. *Suppose a NEH Δ admits an infinitesimal helical symmetry X . Then on Δ there exists a Hawking null vector field, that is the null field $\ell_{(X)}$ satisfying the requirements of definition VI.2. Considered field is unique.*

Proof of the uniqueness. Suppose the fields $\ell_{(X)}$, $\ell'_{(X)}$ satisfy definition VI.2. Then the equations (6.4, 6.5) imply that these fields are of the form:

$$\ell_{(X)} = (\kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})}\bar{v} + b)\ell_{\hat{o}}, \quad \ell'_{(X)} = (\kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})}\bar{v} + b')\ell_{\hat{o}}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\ell_{\hat{o}}}b = \mathcal{L}_{\ell_{\hat{o}}}b' = 0. \quad (6.24)$$

The condition 6.3 imposes the following relation between b, b'

$$X^a D_a (b - b') = 0, \quad (6.25)$$

so $b - b'$ is constant along cylinders $\Delta \supset C_{(\gamma)} := \pi^{-1}(\hat{\gamma})$ built over nontrivial orbits $\hat{\gamma}$ of infinitesimal symmetry $\hat{X}$ induced on $\hat{\Delta}$.

Using the same method (of averaging over a diffeomorphism group generated via (3.2) by a vector field) as the one used in the proof of lemma V.2 one can show, that there exists a coordinate system $\bar{v}$ compatible with $\ell_{\hat{o}}$ such that orbits of $X - \ell_{(X)}$ (closed due to property (c) of definition VI.2) lie on constancy surfaces of $\bar{v}$. One can then immediately generalize corollary V.3 to the case of $\Phi := X - \ell_{(X)}$ (where Φ is not necessarily an infinitesimal symmetry). Thus there exists the diffeomorphism $h : \Delta \rightarrow \hat{\Delta} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$h_*(X - \ell'_{(X)}) = (\hat{X}, (b' - b)\partial_{\bar{v}}). \quad (6.26)$$

It implies immediately, that the field $X - \ell'_{(X)}$ can satisfy the property c of definition VI.2 if and only if $b = b'$. That statement completes the proof. $\square$

In the next subsection we will show that the Hawking vector constructed above is in fact null symmetry at Δ .

C. Induced null symmetry

In this subsection we assume that the matter energy-momentum tensor T_{ab} satisfies the condition (2.45) for some, non-vanishing null $\ell \in \Gamma(T(\Delta))$.

We will show that the Hawking vector field constructed in the previous subsections is an infinitesimal symmetry. The about T_{ab} implies immediately that whenever the field $\ell_{(X)}$ (defined in corollary VI.3) doesn't vanish, its flow as well as the flow of X preserve the rotation 1-form corresponding to $\ell_{(X)}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell_{(X)}}\omega^{(\ell_{(X)})} = -\mathcal{L}_X\omega^{(\ell_{(X)})} = 0. \quad (6.27)$$

Denote the set of points of Δ at which $\ell_{(X)} \neq 0$ by $\mathcal{U}$. Due to (6.3) the field $\ell_{(X)}$ admits at $\mathcal{U}$ a coordinate v' compatible with $\ell_{(X)}$ and constant along the orbits of $\Phi_{(X)}$ so X preserves foliation of $\mathcal{U}$ by surfaces $v' = \text{const}$.¹⁰

Given this coordinate one can define a transversal covector field $n'_a = -D_a v'$. It is (again due to (6.3)) preserved by the considered symmetry. In consequence the transversal expansion-shear tensor $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ corresponding to n'_a (orthogonal to the surfaces $v' = \text{const}$) is also preserved by the flow of X

$$\mathcal{L}_X \tilde{S}_{AB} = 0. \quad (6.28)$$

On the other hand given a null field of a constant surface gravity the evolution (along null geodesics) of $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ corresponding to a coordinate compatible with it is determined by the equation (2.46). In the case considered here that equation determines the evolution of $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ corresponding to v'

$$\tilde{S}_{AB} = \begin{cases} v' \tilde{q}^a{}_A \tilde{q}^b{}_B \left((\Pi^* \hat{D} \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_{(X)})})_{(ab)} + (\Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_{(X)})})_a (\Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_{(X)})})_b \right) + \\ \quad + v' \left(-\frac{1}{2} {}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \tilde{q}_{AB} \right), & \kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})} = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})}} \tilde{q}^a{}_A \tilde{q}^b{}_B \left((\Pi^* \hat{D} \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_{(X)})})_{(ab)} + (\Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_{(X)})})_a (\Pi^* \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_{(X)})})_b \right) + \\ \quad + \frac{1}{\kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} {}^{(n-2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \tilde{q}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{q}^a{}_A \tilde{q}^b{}_B (\Pi^* \hat{T})_{ab} \right) + \\ \quad + e^{-\kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})} v'} \tilde{q}^a{}_A \tilde{q}^b{}_B (\Pi^* \hat{S}^o)_{ab} & \kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})} \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad (6.29)$$

where all the objects are defined analogously to the ones used in (2.46).

The equation (6.28) implies that $U_{2\pi}^* \tilde{S}_{AB} = \tilde{S}_{AB}$ so the term proportional to v' (for $\kappa^{(X)} = 0$) or the component $\Pi^* \hat{S}^o_{AB}$ (otherwise) vanish respectively. Thus

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell_{(X)}} \tilde{S}_{AB}|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0. \quad (6.30)$$

We have established,

$$[\ell_{(X)}, D] = 0 \quad (6.31)$$

on the subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \Delta$ such that $\ell_{(X)} \neq 0$. Therefore (6.31) holds also on the closure $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$. Consider then the set $\Delta \setminus \bar{\mathcal{U}}$. Since this set is open in Δ and

$$\ell_{(X)} = 0, \quad (6.32)$$

in it, it follows that (6.31) holds in $\Delta \setminus \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ as well.

Finally both the fields $\ell_{(X)}$ and $X - \ell_{(X)}$ are infinitesimal symmetries at Δ :

Theorem VI.4. *Suppose a non-expanding horizon Δ is equipped with a energy-momentum tensor T_{ab} such that the condition (2.45) holds for arbitrary null field ℓ tangent to the horizon. If considered Δ admits a helical infinitesimal symmetry X , then it also admits a cyclic infinitesimal symmetry Φ and a null infinitesimal symmetry ℓ such that*

$$X = \Phi + \ell. \quad (6.33)$$

The existence of null symmetry implies immediately via theorems IV.2 and IV.3 that the set of points intersected by open orbits of X is dense at Δ .

¹⁰ In the systematic development of the Hawking null field presented in Appendix C that property is just part of the definition.

VII. SYMMETRIC NEHS IN 4D SPACETIME

In the studies carried out through sections IV till VI nothing was assumed about the horizon dimension or topology of its base space. Also assumed energy conditions allowed quite broad variety of matter fields. In this section we will restrict our studies to NEHs embedded in 4-dimensional spacetime and whose base space is diffeomorphic S^2 . In most cases we will restrict possible matter fields to Maxwell field only (including the zero electromagnetic field) also assuming then, that the cosmological constant vanishes. In these cases the notion of a symmetry will be strengthened: Besides the properties enlisted in definition III.1 we will require the preservation of an electromagnetic field tensor F , namely:

$$\mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{F}_a{}^\mu = 0, \quad (7.1)$$

where $\mathcal{F} := F - i \star F$ and X is an infinitesimal symmetry.

In the case described above a complete classification of the possible infinitesimal symmetries will be derived.

The description of the geometry of an electrovac NEH in 4-dimensional spacetime is briefly presented in Appendix A. For the computational convenience we use the Newman-Penrose tetrad formalism in both the geometry description and the analysis of symmetric electrovac NEHs presented here. Thus in the present section the index notation will be dropped.

As Maxwell field satisfies condition (2.45) the results established in sections IV through VI hold in particular for symmetries considered here without additional energy assumptions. Moreover (which will be shown in subsection VII C) the infinitesimal symmetries induced on the horizon by helical one (see theorem VI.4) satisfy (7.1) (provided the helical infinitesimal symmetry satisfies that condition).

The stronger definition of the symmetry allows us also to improve the general results in two cases: extremal null symmetry (theorem IV.3) and NEHs admitting 2-dimensional null symmetry group (section IV C). We will focus on the former case first.

A. Extremal null symmetry of an electrovac NEH in 4D

In this subsection we consider electrovac NEHs in 4-dimensional spacetime, however the requirement for the horizon base space to be diffeomorphic with 2-sphere is relaxed.

Theorem VII.1. *Suppose Δ is a (maximal analytic extension of a) non-expanding horizon embedded in a 4-dimensional spacetime satisfying the Einstein-Maxwell (including vacuum Einstein) field equations with vanishing cosmological constant. Suppose also ℓ is an extremal null infinitesimal symmetry in the sense of definition III.1 strengthened by condition (7.1). Then ℓ vanishes nowhere at Δ .*

Proof. Any extremal null symmetry can be expressed in terms of Jezierski-Kijowski vector field via (4.6). On the other hand the condition (3.1b) implies via (2.16, 2.29, A11, A12a, A19b) the following constraint

$$\frac{1}{2} {}^{(2)}\mathcal{R} := \frac{1}{2} \hat{q}^{AB} {}^{(2)}\mathcal{R}_{AB} = 2|\Phi_1|^2 + \tilde{\text{div}}(\hat{\omega}^{(\ell\circ)} + \tilde{\text{d}} \ln \hat{B}) + |\hat{\omega}^{(\ell\circ)} + \tilde{\text{d}} \ln B|_q^2, \quad (7.2)$$

true everywhere where B doesn't vanish. The 0th Law allows us to re-express this equation as a constraint defined at $\hat{\Delta}$ and involving projective data just by replacing projected objects by projective ones. As (due to theorem IV.3) $B \neq 0$ at dense subset of $\hat{\Delta}$ the re-expressed constraint can be integrated over $\hat{\Delta}$. Also the integral $\int {}^{(2)}\mathcal{R} \hat{\epsilon}$ is determined by Gauss-Bonnet theorem. Therefore the equation (7.2) takes form of topological constraint:

$$4\pi(1 - g) = \int_{\hat{\Delta}} \left(|\hat{\omega}^{(\ell\circ)} + \hat{\text{d}} \ln B|_q^2 + 2|\Phi_1|^2 \right) \hat{\epsilon}, \quad (7.3)$$

where g is the genus of $\hat{\Delta}$.

Finally the only topologies of the base space allowed in this case are S^2 and $S^1 \times S^1$. Moreover for $\hat{\Delta} = S^1 \times S^1$ the only allowed solution is (${}^{(2)}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} = 0$, $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)} = 0$, $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{AB} = 0$) (where $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined via (A17)). The vanishing of $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}$ (in particular its exact part) implies immediately that ℓ is (globally) proportional to Jezierski-Kijowski null field so it nowhere vanishes.

In the case $\hat{\Delta} = S^2$ the rotation 1-form $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell\circ)}$ (corresponding to J-K null vector field $\ell_{\circ}$) is a co-exact 1-form at $\hat{\Delta}$ (both the harmonic and exact part vanish identically). The system (4.8) can be then written as

$$\left[\hat{D}_A \hat{D}_B + 2\hat{\omega}^{(\ell\circ)}_{(A}{}^{(co)} \hat{D}_{B)} + (\hat{D}_{(A} \hat{\omega}^{(\ell\circ)}_{B)}{}^{(co)}) \right] \hat{B} + \left[\hat{\omega}^{(\ell\circ)}_A{}^{(co)} \hat{\omega}^{(\ell\circ)}_B{}^{(co)} - \frac{1}{2} {}^{(n-2)} \mathcal{R}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \hat{q}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{T}_{AB} \right] \hat{B} = 0, \quad (7.4)$$

where $\hat{T}_{AB}$ is a projective energy-momentum tensor of the Maxwell field. In the null frame introduced in appendix A the trace and traceless part of the above equation form the following system

$$\left(\hat{\delta}\bar{\delta} + \bar{\delta}\hat{\delta} - 2a\hat{\delta} - 2\bar{a}\bar{\delta} + 2i\hat{\delta}U\bar{\delta} - 2i\bar{\delta}U\hat{\delta} + 2\hat{\delta}U\bar{\delta}U - \frac{1}{2} {}^{(2)} \mathcal{R} \right) \hat{B} + 2|\Phi_1|^2 \hat{B} = 0, \quad (7.5a)$$

$$\left(\bar{\delta}\hat{\delta} + 2a\hat{\delta} - 2i\bar{\delta}U\hat{\delta} - i\hat{\delta}\bar{\delta}U - 2ia\bar{\delta}U - \bar{\delta}U\hat{\delta}U \right) \hat{B} = 0, \quad (7.5b)$$

where U is a rotation potential defined via (A10), a is a component of the Levi-Civita connection $\hat{\Gamma}$ on $\hat{\Delta}$ defined via (A8) and $\hat{\delta}$ is given by (A5).

In vacuum case by commuting the $\hat{\delta}$ operator with $\hat{\delta}\bar{\delta} + \bar{\delta}\hat{\delta}$ as well $\bar{\delta}$ with $\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}$ one gets the following integrability condition for (7.5)

$$\bar{\delta}\bar{\delta}\Psi_2 + 3\pi_o\Psi_2 = 0 \quad (7.6)$$

where π_o is a coefficient (defined by (A9)) of $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell\circ)}$ in decomposition with respect to used frame and Ψ_2 is an invariant complex scalar

$$\Psi_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} {}^{(2)} \mathcal{R} + i\hat{\Delta}U \right), \quad (7.7)$$

(with $\hat{\Delta}$ being a Laplace operator on $\hat{\Delta}$).

On the other hand preserving the electromagnetic field tensor (expressed now in terms of coefficients Φ_I defined via (A18)) by $\ell = (\pi^*\hat{B})\ell_{\circ}$ (7.1) imposes via one of Maxwell field equations (A21b) the constraint (A26) which may be written as a pull-back from $\hat{\Delta}$ of

$$\bar{\delta}\hat{\Phi}_1 + 2\pi_o\hat{\Phi}_1 + 2(\bar{\delta}\ln\hat{B})\hat{\Phi}_1 = 0, \quad (7.8)$$

where the component π corresponding (via eq. (A9)) to $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}$ (well defined on a dense subset of $\hat{\Delta}$ via Theorem IV.3) was expressed in terms of π_o .¹¹

The Hodge decomposition of $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell\circ)}$ can be written as decomposition (A10b) of π_o . Applied to equations (7.6, 7.8) it allows their explicit integration which produces the following constraints on NEH invariants and function $\hat{B}$ valid in vacuum (7.9a) and electrovac (7.9b) case respectively:

$$\hat{B}^3|\Psi_2| = C_0 = \text{const}, \quad \hat{B}^2|\hat{\Phi}_1| = E_0 = \text{const}. \quad (7.9)$$

As the coefficients Ψ_2 and $\hat{\Phi}_1$ are finite we need only to show that the constants C_0, E_0 for appropriate case are non-zero.

Let us start with vacuum case first. As the scalar Ψ_2 cannot identically vanish on the entire $\hat{\Delta}$ the identity $C_0 = 0$ implies that there exists a closed subset of $\hat{\Delta}$ (with non-empty interior) on which $\hat{B} = 0$. It is however excluded by corollary IV.3.

In electrovac case on the other hand there exists an open subset of $\hat{\Delta}$ on which $\hat{\Phi}_1 \neq 0$ (otherwise $\hat{T}_{AB} = 0$ in (7.4) and we end up with just a vacuum constraint). The condition $E_0 = 0$ requires then vanishing of $\hat{B}$ on this subset. It is again excluded by corollary IV.3.

Finally an appropriate for considered case (vacuum or electrovac) constant in (7.9) can take only non-zero value. That fact together with the finiteness of the coefficients involved in (7.9) ensures that $\hat{B}$ nowhere vanishes. $\square$

¹¹ The transformation $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A \rightarrow \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A + D_A f$ in terms of frame component π takes the form $\pi \rightarrow \pi + \bar{\delta}f$.

it was shown in [15] that the maximal group of extremal null symmetries on a given NEH is exactly 1-dimensional provided the symmetries are generated by nowhere vanishing infinitesimal symmetries. The theorem VII.1 allows us to relax the last condition:

Proposition VII.2. *Suppose ℓ, ℓ' are extremal null infinitesimal symmetries (satisfying also (7.1)) of an electrovac NEH Δ in 4-dimensional spacetime (with cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0$). Then*

$$\ell = c\ell' . \quad (7.10)$$

where $c = \text{const.}$

As the geometry of every NEH satisfying the assumptions of theorem VII.1 has to satisfy the constraints (7.5,7.8) the set of possible solutions is seriously restricted. In particular all the axial solutions are given by the projective metric, rotation 1-form and projective electromagnetic field tensor of the extremal horizon of Kerr-Newman metric. On the other hand it was shown in [16] that the only vacuum extremal IHs in four dimensions whose rotation 2-form vanishes (denoted as *non-rotating*) are trivial solutions of a toroidal base space and (${}^{(2)}\mathcal{R} = 0, \hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}_A = 0, \hat{\Phi}_1 = 0$). Indeed, due to (7.3) the only possible topologies of the horizon base space are 2-sphere and 2-torus (with only trivial solution allowed in the latter case). In the case of $\hat{\Delta} = S^2 \hat{B}$ and ${}^{(2)}\mathcal{R}$ are constrained by (7.9a) which in non-rotating case takes the form¹²

$${}^{(2)}\mathcal{R}\hat{B}^3 = 4C_0 = \text{const} , \quad (7.11)$$

where $C_1 \neq 0$. As $\hat{\Omega}_{AB} = 0 \Rightarrow U = \text{const}$ the substitution of ${}^{(2)}\mathcal{R}$ in (7.5a) by (7.11) gives us the following elliptic PDE

$$\left[\hat{\Delta} - \frac{C_0}{\hat{B}^3} \right] \hat{B} = 0 , \quad (7.12)$$

where $\hat{\Delta}$ is the Laplasian on the base space. An integral over $\hat{\Delta}$ of the above PDE

$$\int_{\hat{\Delta}} \hat{\epsilon} \left[\hat{\Delta} - \frac{4C_0}{\hat{B}^3} \right] \hat{B} = -4 \int_{\hat{\Delta}} \frac{C_0}{\hat{B}^2} \hat{\epsilon} = 0 , \quad (7.13)$$

implies then $C_0 = 0$. This case was however excluded (see discussion after (7.9)). Thus the following is true:

Corollary VII.3. *Suppose Δ is an electrovac NEH embedded in 4-dimensional spacetime (with $\Lambda = 0$). Suppose also it admits an extremal null infinitesimal symmetry ℓ_o and its rotation 2-form vanishes. Then its geometry is given by the data*

$${}^{(2)}\mathcal{R} = 0 , \quad \hat{\omega}^{(\ell_o)} = 0 , \quad \hat{\Phi}_1 = 0 , \quad (7.14)$$

whereas the base space of Δ is a 2-torus.

B. Electrovac NEHs admitting 2-dimensional null symmetry group

The class of electrovac isolated horizons admitting 2-dimensional group of null symmetries was investigated in [15]. Theorem VII.1 allows us to directly apply the results presented there to more general case considered in this article. Indeed the following is true:

Proposition VII.4. *Suppose an electrovac NEH in 4-dimensional spacetime (with $\Lambda = 0$) Δ admits a two-dimensional group of null symmetries (generated by vector fields satisfying definition III.1 and (7.1)). Then Δ is an extremal Isolated Horizon (i.e. there exists an extremal nowhere vanishing infinitesimal null symmetry satisfying (7.1)).*

¹² In general case (rotation) an integration of (7.6) gave complex expression involving $\Psi_2, \hat{B}$ and U . The equation (7.9a) was obtained by taking the absolute value of the result of integration. In the non-rotating case the result of integration is real up to constant phase which can be fixed by gauge transformation $U \rightarrow U + U_0, U_0 = \text{const.}$ Thus instead of taking the absolute value we use the integration result itself.

The statement above implies automatically, that the base space of considered horizon is either 2-sphere or 2-torus, where the latter case contains only trivial solution (7.14).

Proposition VII.5. *A general nontrivial electrovac (including vacuum) extremal IH Δ (with an infinitesimal symmetry ℓ_o) in 4-dimensional spacetime (with $\Lambda = 0$) admitting an additional null symmetry is given by any solution to (7.5, 7.8), $\mu = 0 = \lambda$ in (A7c) and $\Phi_2 = 0$ ¹³. Its group of the null symmetries is exactly two-dimensional, the generators are an infinitesimal symmetry ℓ_o and $\ell = v\ell_o$ where v is a coordinate compatible to ℓ_o and such that the transversal expansion-shear tensor corresponding to it vanishes¹⁴ at Δ . The commutator between the generators is*

$$[\ell_o, \ell] = \ell_o. \quad (7.15)$$

C. Helical electrovac NEHs

The quasi-local rigidity theorem VI.4 developed for the general NEH holds in particular for electrovac horizons in 4-dimensional spacetime. Moreover the induced infinitesimal symmetries satisfy the condition (7.1) (provided it is satisfied by the helical symmetry). To show that it is enough to check the constancy of the component Φ_2 ¹⁵ of $\mathcal{F}_a^\mu$ defined by (A18) with respect to the frame $(e_1, \dots, e_4)$ defined in A.1 chosen such that $e_4^\mu = \ell_{(X)}^\mu$ ¹⁶. Indeed due to equation (A21b) Φ_2 is either exponential ($\kappa^{(\ell_{(X)})} \neq 0$) or polynomial (otherwise) in coordinate compatible with $\ell_{(X)}$, thus it is constant along the horizon null geodesics by an argument similar to the one used in proof of constancy of $\tilde{S}_{AB}$ in subsection VI.C. The following is then true:

Theorem VII.6. *Suppose an electrovac NEH Δ embedded in a 4-dimensional spacetime (with $\Lambda = 0$) admits a helical symmetry. Then it admits also a null and axial symmetry which also preserve the electromagnetic field tensor F_a^μ . Thus, depending on the value of $\kappa^{(X)}$, either:*

- a) *the entire $\bar{\Delta}$ in the case $\kappa^{(X)} = 0$, or*
- b) *each of two sectors $\Delta_\pm = \{p \in \Delta : \text{sgn}(v(p)) = \pm 1\}$ (where v is defined via (6.18)) in the case $\kappa^{(X)} \neq 0$*

*constitutes an axial isolated horizon*¹⁷.

D. Classification of the symmetric horizons in 4-dimensional spacetime

The general properties of distinguished classes of symmetric NEH investigated in the previous part of this article allow us to introduce the complete classification of symmetric non-expanding horizons embedded in a 4-dimensional space-time, provided the base space topology is S^2 ¹⁸.

Given a NEH Δ whose base space $\hat{\Delta}$ is diffeomorphic to a 2-sphere, any vector field X^a which generates a symmetry of Δ induces on $\hat{\Delta}$ a Killing vector field $\hat{X}^A$ of the following properties:

- $\hat{X}^A$ is of the form $\hat{X}^A = \hat{\epsilon}^{AB} \hat{D}_B h$, where h is a function defined on $\hat{\Delta}$.
- Since all the 2-sphere metrics are conformal to the round metric on that sphere, the $\hat{X}^A$ is a conformal Killing field of the round 2-sphere metric.

Applying the classification of conformal Killing fields on the 2-sphere to the Killing fields on $\hat{\Delta}$ we can divide them onto the following classes:

- (i) a rotation,

¹³ This condition wasn't present in an analogous theorem in [15] because only one of two distinct infinitesimal symmetries was required to preserve $\mathcal{F}_a^\mu$ there. The proof that $\Phi_2 = 0$ if all the infinitesimal symmetries preserve $\mathcal{F}_a^\mu$ is analogous to proof of constraint $\mu = 0 = \lambda$.

¹⁴ This condition is equivalent to $\mu = 0 = \lambda$, see appendix A.

¹⁵ The other components remain constant along null geodesics at Δ .

¹⁶ $\ell_{(X)}^\mu$ is a completion of $\ell_{(X)}^a$ to null vector in $T(\mathcal{M})$ at Δ . Due to theorems IV.2 and IV.3 considered frame is defined on dense subset of Δ .

¹⁷ I.e. the null symmetry vanishes nowhere at it.

¹⁸ A classification of symmetric, non-extremal weakly isolated horizons was developed in [3, 26]. However, the symmetries considered therein were defined as preserving the induced metric q_{ab} and the null flow $[\ell]$ of a given non-extremal weakly isolated horizon. That difference is essential and simplifies the classification considerably.

- (ii) a boost,
- (iii) a null rotation (with exactly one critical point of $[\hat{X}]$),
- (iv) a linear combination of the representatives of the classes (i)-(iii).

In the cases (ii),(iii) and (iv) all the orbits of $\hat{X}$ converge to one critical point. The function h is then constant on entire $\hat{\Delta}$, hence in all the cases except (i) the projected symmetry necessarily vanishes. Finally the metric of the base space necessarily belongs to one of the following classes:

- (1) spherical: group of symmetries is 3-dimensional group of rotations
- (2) axial: 1-dimensional group of rotational symmetries
- (3) generic: 0-dimensional group of symmetries

The above statements imply that the field $\hat{X}$ induced on $\hat{\Delta}$ by a symmetry X either is the rotational Killing field or identically zero. Thus given a symmetric NEH all of symmetries it admits necessarily belong to one of the following classes:

- Null symmetries (see definition IV.1): Horizons admitting null symmetry generated by nowhere vanishing vector field are referred to as isolated horizons and were discussed extensively in the literature [3, 4] The general null-symmetric NEHs were discussed in section IV. Note that a given NEH can admit the 2 dimensional non-commutative group of null symmetries. This case was discussed (in context of an IH geometry) in [15].
- Axial symmetries (see definition V.1)¹⁹: NEHs admitting such symmetry were discussed in section V.
- Helical symmetries (see definition VI.1): Due to theorem VI.4 a helical symmetry induces on a NEH both axial and null symmetry.

The properties of possible NEH symmetries enlisted above allow us to introduce a classification complementary to (1) - (3), namely we divide symmetric NEHs (with respect to structure of their groups of null symmetries) onto the following classes:

- (a) 'Null-multisymmetric' NEH's: the group of null symmetries is at least 2-dimensional.
- (b) Null-symmetric NEH's: the null symmetry is unique up to rescaling by a constant.
- (c) Generic NEH's: without null symmetries.

All the combinations of (1)-(3) and (a)-(c) are possible thus allowing to introduce the complete classification as follows:

Corollary VII.7. *Suppose Δ is a NEH embedded in 4-dimensional spacetime satisfying Einstein field equations (possibly with a cosmological constant and matter such that (2.45) holds). Suppose also the base space of Δ is a 2-sphere. Then Δ necessarily belongs to one of classes labeled by two non-negative integers (a, n) : dimensions of the maximal group of axial and null symmetries respectively. This pair uniquely characterizes the structure of maximal symmetry group of each horizon.*

In the class of electrovac NEHs (with vanishing cosmological constant) the group of null symmetries is at most 2-dimensional [15]²⁰, so the case (a) consists of the NEHs with exactly 2-dimensional null symmetry group. In this case the null infinitesimal symmetries ℓ and ℓ_o can be chosen such that (see also [15] for details)

$$[\ell, \ell_o] = \ell_o, \quad (7.16)$$

where ℓ_o is a unique extremal infinitesimal symmetry.

On the other hand theorem VII.1 ensures that any null symmetry vanishes only at the cross-over surface (when non-extremal) or nowhere (otherwise).

¹⁹ As $\hat{\Delta} = S^2$ all the cyclic symmetries are axial ones

²⁰ In [15] only symmetric IHs were considered, however due to theorems IV.2, IV.3, VII.1 all the electrovac null-symmetric NEHs in 4D are isolated horizons.

VIII. SUMMARY

The definition and general properties of the infinitesimal symmetries of symmetric NEHs were studied in Section III. Most of the results rely on Einstein's equations with a possible cosmological constant and matter satisfying suitable energy inequalities (2.11) and energy-momentum equalities (2.45). The vacuum case always satisfies our assumptions. In the context of non-vacuum symmetric NEHs, appropriate symmetry conditions ((7.1) in electrovac case) are imposed on the matter as well.

Using the Jezierski-Kijowski invariant local flow, every infinitesimal symmetry was assigned a certain constant (3.5). If the constant is zero, the infinitesimal symmetry is called extremal. It is called non-extremal otherwise. Another useful general result is the observation of proposition III.4 that every symmetric NEH in question is a segment of an (abstract, not necessarily embedded) symmetric NEH whose null curves are complete in any affine parametrization. Moreover, on that analytic extension of a given symmetric NEH, the infinitesimal symmetry generates a group of globally defined symmetry maps. Since that observation, we consider only the symmetric complete analytic extensions of NEHs.

The general case of a NEH Δ admitting a null infinitesimal symmetry (null symmetric NEHs) is considered in Section IV. The possible zero points of the infinitesimal symmetries are studied with special care. In the non-extremal null infinitesimal symmetry case, the zero set is just a single cross-section of Δ (see theorem IV.2). In the extremal case, we were only able to prove in theorem IV.3 that the subset of Δ on which the infinitesimal symmetry does not vanish is dense in Δ .

The case of more than one dimensional null symmetry group is partially characterized by theorem IV.5. It is shown, that the symmetry group necessarily contains an extremal null symmetry. In the consequence, the NEHs of that symmetry can be labeled in the vacuum case by solutions to the extremal null-symmetric NEH constraint (4.8)²¹. In particular for spacetime dimension $n = 4$ considered NEHs can be labeled by solutions to extremal IH constraint (1.1). That observation leads to a complete characterization of a NEH which admits more than one linearly independent null infinitesimal symmetries.

If a NEH Δ admits an helical infinitesimal symmetry X , then the NEH geometry (q, D) and X determine a certain null vector field $\ell_{(X)}$ on Δ . We refer to that vector field as the Hawking vector field, because it is a generalization of the vector field defined by Hawking-Ellis [27] in their proof of the rigidity theorem. In fact we do not need to prove the uniqueness of our Hawking field. We just construct it and then show the property crucial for our considerations: the Hawking vector field is an infinitesimal symmetry itself. Moreover, the difference vector $X - \ell_{(X)}$ is a cyclic infinitesimal symmetry. The exact statement is contained in theorem VI.4. In particular, the assumptions are satisfied automatically in every Einstein-Maxwell case. The uniqueness of the Hawking vector field is shown in corollary VI.3.

The application of our results in the standard case: $n = 4$, topologically spherical cross section of Δ and Einstein-Maxwell equations satisfied at Δ , is individually studied in Section VII. Given a symmetric NEH, the electro-magnetic field on Δ is assumed to satisfy symmetry condition (7.1). In this case, every extremal null infinitesimal symmetry nowhere vanishes at Δ (theorem VII.1). This result is sufficient to complete the classification of possible symmetry groups of NEHs generated by null infinitesimal symmetries. Combined with the main result concerning the helical symmetry as with our knowledge of the symmetric Riemannian geometries of a 2-sphere, it provides the complete classification of the symmetric NEH in this case (Section VII D).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Piotr Chruściel and Jan Dereziński for discussions. This work was supported in part by the NSF grants PHY-0354932 and PHY-0456913, the Eberly research funds of Penn State, Polish Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) grant 2 P03B 130 24 and the Polish Ministry of Science and Education grant 1 P03B 075 29.

APPENDIX A: ELECTROVAC NEHS IN 4D SPACETIME

The geometry of a non-expanding horizon embedded in 4-dimensional spacetime and admitting arbitrary matter field (satisfying certain energy conditions) as well as the structure of its degrees of freedom was studied in detail in [3].

²¹ With $\hat{T}_{AB} = 0$, $\Lambda = 0$.

In the analysis the Newman-Penrose formalism occurred to be particularly convenient for the NEH description (see especially Appendix B in [3]). Below we present the geometry analysis for the NEH admitting the Maxwell field only in order to provide necessary background for the analysis in section VII. The general geometrical description introduced in section II applies also to this class of horizons: the constraints are given by the pullback of the gravitational energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ of the electromagnetic field onto Δ

$${}^{(4)}\mathcal{R}_{ab} - T_{ab} = 0. \quad (\text{A1})$$

In the case analyzed here however the above equations don't exceed all the set of constraints. Considered set is completed by the constraints on the electromagnetic field F on Δ following from the Maxwell equations. The set of constraints extended that way is complete: it contains all the constraints on the horizon geometry imposed by the requirement, that Δ is embedded in a spacetime satisfying the Einstein-Maxwell field equations [28, 29].

The analysis similar to presented in this appendix was performed in context of electrovac isolated horizons in [15]. As in there we find particularly convenient to study the subject expressing all the constraints in distinguished null frame.

1. The adapted frame

Suppose the Δ is a non-expanding horizon embedded in a 4-dimensional spacetime and ℓ is a null field tangent to it and such that its surface gravity $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ is a constant of the horizon. Let v be a coordinate compatible with ℓ . Then the vector field $n^\mu := -g^{\mu\nu}D_\nu v$ (where $g^{\mu\nu}$ is an inverse spacetime metric) is a null vector transversal to the horizon and orthogonal to the constancy surfaces $\tilde{\Delta}_v$ of v (see section II B).

Let $e_\mu = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) = (m, \bar{m}, n, \ell)$ be a complex Newman-Penrose null frame defined in a spacetime neighborhood of Δ (see [30] for the definition and basic properties). The spacetime metric tensor and the degenerate metric tensor q induced on Δ take in that frame the following form:

$$g = e^1 \otimes e^2 + e^2 \otimes e^1 - e^3 \otimes e^4 - e^4 \otimes e^3, \quad (\text{A2a})$$

$$q = (e^1 \otimes e^2 + e^2 \otimes e^1)_{(\Delta)}. \quad (\text{A2b})$$

where $(\cdot)_{(\Delta)}$ denotes the pull-back onto Δ .

The real vectors $\Re(m), \Im(m)$ are (automatically) tangent to Δ . To adapt the frame further, we assume the vector fields $\Re(m), \Im(m)$ are tangent to the surfaces $\tilde{\Delta}_v$ and Lie dragged by the flow $[\ell]$

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell m = 0. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The projection of m onto $\hat{\Delta}$ uniquely defines then on a horizon base space a null vector frame $(\hat{m}, \bar{\hat{m}})$

$$\Pi_* m =: \hat{m}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

and the differential operator

$$\hat{\delta} := \hat{m}^A \partial_A \quad (\text{A5})$$

corresponding to the frame vector $\hat{m}$.

The frame (e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4) is adapted to: the vector field ℓ , the $[\ell]$ invariant foliation of Δ , and the null complex-valued frame $\hat{m}$ defined on the manifold $\hat{\Delta}$. Spacetime frames constructed in this way on Δ will be called *adapted*.

Due to (A3) and the normalization of n^μ all the elements of an adapted frame are Lie dragged by ℓ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell e_{(\Delta)}^\mu = 0. \quad (\text{A6})$$

In consequence, the connection defined by the horizon covariant derivative D in that frame can be decomposed the following way

$$m^\nu D \bar{m}_\nu = \Pi^* \left(\hat{m}^A \hat{D} \bar{\hat{m}}_A \right) =: \Pi^* \hat{\Gamma}, \quad (\text{A7a})$$

$$-n_\nu D \ell^\nu = \omega^{(\ell)} = \pi e_{(\Delta)}^2 + \bar{\pi} e_{(\Delta)}^1 + \kappa^{(\ell)} e_{(\Delta)}^3, \quad (\text{A7b})$$

$$-\bar{m}^\nu D n_\nu = \mu e_{(\Delta)}^1 + \lambda e_{(\Delta)}^2 + \pi e_{(\Delta)}^4, \quad (\text{A7c})$$

$$m_\mu D \ell^\mu = 0, \quad (\text{A7d})$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}$ is the Levi-Civita connection 1-form corresponding to the covariant derivative $\hat{D}$ defined by $\hat{q}$ and to the null frame $(\hat{m}, \hat{\bar{m}})$ defined on $\hat{\Delta}$

$$\hat{\Gamma} =: 2\bar{a}\hat{e}^1 + 2a\hat{e}^2 . \quad (\text{A8})$$

The rotation 1-form potential $\omega^{(\ell)}$ in the chosen frame takes the form

$$\omega^{(\ell)} = \pi e_{(\Delta)}^2 + \bar{\pi} e_{(\Delta)}^1 - \kappa^{(\ell)} e_{(\Delta)}^4 , \quad (\text{A9})$$

In case $\hat{\Delta} = S^2$ the Hodge decomposition (2.40) of the the projective rotation 1-form $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}$ corresponding to $\omega^{(\ell)}$ simplifies significantly, namely one can express $\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)}$ (and its coefficient π in the decomposition (A9)) in terms of two real potentials U, B defined on $\hat{\Delta}$

$$\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)} = \hat{\star} dU + \hat{d} \ln B , \quad \pi = -i\bar{\delta}U + \bar{\delta} \ln B , \quad (\text{A10})$$

where $\hat{\star}$ is Hodge star defined by the 2-metric tensor $\hat{q}$.

The remaining two connection coefficients (μ, λ) (being the only v dependent ones) are the components of the transversal expansion-shear tensor $\tilde{S}_{AB}$:

$$\mu = \tilde{S}_{AB} \tilde{m}^A \tilde{\bar{m}}^B , \quad \lambda = \tilde{S}_{AB} \tilde{m}^A \tilde{\bar{m}}^B , \quad (\text{A11})$$

where by $\tilde{m}$ we denote the projection of m onto surface $\tilde{\Delta}_v$.

The constraints induced by the Einstein equations (A1) are by the identity (2.31) equivalent to the following set of equations

$$T_{m\bar{m}} = {}^{(4)}\mathcal{R}_{m\bar{m}} = 2D\mu + 2\kappa^{(\ell)}\mu - \tilde{\text{div}}\tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)} - |\tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)}|_{\tilde{q}}^2 + K , \quad (\text{A12a})$$

$$T_{\bar{m}\bar{m}} = {}^{(4)}\mathcal{R}_{\bar{m}\bar{m}} = 2D\lambda + 2\kappa^{(\ell)}\lambda - 2\bar{\delta}\pi - 4a\pi - 2\pi^2 , \quad (\text{A12b})$$

where $D := \ell^a \partial_a$, $\delta := m^a \partial_a$ and $(K, \tilde{\text{div}}\tilde{\omega}^{(\ell)})$ are the curvature of the metric $\tilde{q}$ induced on each surface $\tilde{\Delta}_v$ and the divergence of projected rotation 1-form respectively. Both the quantities are due to (2.34) and the 0th Law (2.13) pullbacks of the corresponding ones $(K, \hat{\text{div}}\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)})$ defined on the horizon base space.

$$K := 2\hat{\delta}a + 2\bar{\delta}\bar{a} - 8a\bar{a} , \quad (\text{A13a})$$

$$\hat{\text{div}}\hat{\omega}^{(\ell)} = \hat{\delta}\pi + \bar{\delta}\bar{\pi} - 2a\bar{\pi} - 2\bar{a}\pi . \quad (\text{A13b})$$

2. Einstein-Maxwell constraints

The constraints on horizon geometry imposed by Maxwell equations were analyzed in detail in [15]. In geometric form they can be written down as the following set of equations

$$\ell^\mu (\star(d\mathcal{F}))_{\mu\nu} = 0 , \quad ({}^{(\Delta)}\star - i) \left[(\star d\mathcal{F})_{(\Delta)} \right] = 0 , \quad (\text{A14})$$

where $\star$ and ${}^{(\Delta)}\star$ are, respectively, the spacetime Hodge star and a Hodge dual intrinsic to the horizon, and $\mathcal{F}$ is the self-dual part $\mathcal{F}$ of electromagnetic field tensor F

$$\mathcal{F} := F - i \star F . \quad (\text{A15})$$

The equation (A16) and the metric decomposition (A2) imply that the energy momentum tensor component $T_{\ell\ell}$ vanishes at Δ , thus the Maxwell field F satisfies the Weaker Energy Condition (2.1). Hence from the Einstein equation (A1) and the Raychaudhuri equation follows that $T_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b = 0$. A consequence of this fact is

$$\ell \lrcorner \mathcal{F}_{(\Delta)} = 0 . \quad (\text{A16})$$

Therefore, if we consider the tensor $\mathcal{F}_\nu{}^\mu$ as a 1-form taking vector values, then its pullback on Δ takes values in the space $T\Delta$ tangent to Δ .

Concluding, an *electrovac non-expanding horizon* is a NEH Δ which admits an electromagnetic field F such that the constraint equations (A14), (A16) are satisfied.

Equation (A16) implies that $\ell^a T_{ab} = 0$, ensuring the satisfaction of the Stronger Energy Condition II.2, so the 0th Law (2.13) (via the constraint equations). Also the equations (A14a, A16) allow us to write the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}$ to Δ as a pull-back of the field tensor $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ defined on the horizon base space

$$\mathcal{F}_{(\Delta)} = \Pi^* \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \quad (\text{A17})$$

and denoted as the self-dual part of the *projective electromagnetic field* tensor.

Given an electromagnetic field $F = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} e^\mu \wedge e^\nu$ present in a spacetime neighborhood of the horizon in a null frame proposed in the previous section it can be decomposed as follows,

$$F = -\Phi_0 e^4 \wedge e^1 + \Phi_1 (e^4 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^1) - \Phi_2 e^3 \wedge e^2 + c.c. . \quad (\text{A18})$$

The components of the Ricci tensor appearing in the equations (A12) are then (according to the Einstein field equations) equal to

$$\overset{(4)}{\mathcal{R}}_{mm} = 0, \quad \overset{(4)}{\mathcal{R}}_{m\bar{m}} = 2|\Phi_1|^2. \quad (\text{A19})$$

respectively.

Condition (A16) implied by the constraints reads

$$\Phi_0 = 0. \quad (\text{A20})$$

whereas the part of the constraints (A14) coming from the Maxwell equations forms the following system involving Φ_1, Φ_2 :

$$D\Phi_1 = 0, \quad (\text{A21a})$$

$$D\Phi_2 = -\kappa^{(\ell)} \Phi_2 + (\bar{\delta} + 2\pi) \Phi_1. \quad (\text{A21b})$$

Due to (A21a) the component Φ_1 is a pullback of the complex coefficient defined on $\hat{\Delta}$

$$\Phi_1 =: \Pi^* \hat{\Phi}_1. \quad (\text{A22})$$

The projective field tensor defined via (A17) can be then written as

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}} = i \hat{\Phi}_1 \hat{e}, \quad (\text{A23})$$

where $\hat{e}$ is an area form of $\hat{\Delta}$.

$\hat{\Phi}_1$ is invariant with respect to both: transformation of null field $\ell \rightarrow f\ell$ and change of the coordinate compatible with ℓ : $v \rightarrow v + \Pi^* \hat{v}_o$.

Suppose now the NEH admits an extremal null infinitesimal symmetry ℓ . The construction specified in section A 1 can be then used to define null frame such that $e_4 = \ell$, well defined on (dense due to theorem IV.3) subset $\mathcal{U}$ of Δ on which $\ell \neq 0$. Due to (A20, A21a) at $\mathcal{U}$ the condition (7.1) is equivalent to $D\Phi_2 = 0$, hence the Maxwell equation (A21b) takes the form

$$(\bar{\delta} + 2\pi) \Phi_1 = 0. \quad (\text{A24})$$

All the extremal null infinitesimal symmetries are necessarily of the form $\ell = (\Pi^* \hat{B}) \ell_o$, where ℓ_o is Jezierski-Kijowski null vector field (defined globally on Δ). One can then rewrite (A24) in terms of coefficients in frame (again constructed as specified in section A 1) such that $e_4 = \ell_o$.

As at the horizon $\Phi_0 = D\Phi_1 = 0$ all the tetrad transformations not changing the direction of e_4 (see for example [17] for the classification of frame transformations as well as corresponding coefficients transformation rules)²² leave both Φ_1 and $\bar{\delta}\Phi_1$ unchanged. On the other hand upon change $\ell \mapsto \ell' = (\Pi^* \hat{B}) \ell$ the coefficient π is modified the following way

$$\pi \rightarrow \pi' = \pi + \bar{\delta} \ln(\Pi^* \hat{B}). \quad (\text{A25})$$

²² Without loose of generality we can exclude transformations $m \mapsto e^{i\theta} m$.

In the frame such that $e_4 = \ell_{\mathcal{O}}$ the constraint (A24) will take then the form:

$$[\bar{\delta} + 2\pi + 2(\bar{\delta} \ln(\pi^* \hat{B}))]\Phi_1 = 0. \quad (\text{A26})$$

Above equation involves objects well defined on the entire Δ so it holds on the closure of $\mathcal{U}$, thus globally on the horizon.

APPENDIX B: COORDINATES ADAPTED TO AXIAL SYMMETRY ON A 2-SPHERE

Consider a 2-dimensional manifold S diffeomorphic to the sphere and equipped with a metric tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$. Suppose S admits an axial symmetry group generated by the field $\hat{\Phi}^A$. One can then introduce on that manifold a distinguished coordinate system defined in terms of the geometric objects only. Such a construction has been developed in [15, 31] and has proved to be useful in various applications (analysis of axial solutions, construction of multipole decomposition of an IH geometry). Here we will present the construction and analyze in detail conditions for the global definiteness (in particular differentiability) of the metric on the sphere. They will be formulated as conditions on the coefficients representing $\hat{q}_{AB}$ in considered coordinate system.

Denote the area form and radius of S by $\hat{\epsilon}$ and R respectively (where R is defined via manifold area $A = 4\pi R^2$). Given $\hat{\epsilon}$ and the axial symmetry field $\hat{\Phi}^A$ there exists a function x globally defined on S and such that²³

$$\hat{D}_A x := \frac{1}{R^2} \hat{\epsilon}_{AB} \hat{\Phi}^A, \quad \int_S x \hat{\epsilon} = 0. \quad (\text{B1a})$$

By the definition $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\Phi}} x = 0$ and $\hat{D}_A x$ vanishes only at the poles. Hence $x : S \mapsto [-1, 1]$ is a function monotonically increasing from one pole to another.

Let us now introduce on S the vector field x^A such that

$$\hat{q}_{AB} x^A \hat{\Phi}^A = 0, \quad x^A \hat{D}_A x = 1. \quad (\text{B2a})$$

Such a field (well defined everywhere except the poles) necessarily takes the form

$$x^A = \frac{R^4}{|\hat{\Phi}|^2} \hat{q}^{AB} \hat{D}_B x. \quad (\text{B3})$$

Given the field x^A one can define the coordinate φ compatible with $\hat{\Phi}^A$ the following way:

- Choose on S a single integral curve $\hat{\gamma}$ of x^A connecting the poles. Set the function φ to 0 on $\hat{\gamma}$.
- As the field x^A was defined in terms of the geometric objects only an action of an axial symmetry maps one integrate curve of x^A onto another. We can then extend the coordinate φ attaching to each point of S (except the poles) the value of group parameter needed for mapping of $\hat{\gamma}$ into curve intersecting given point.

The pair (x, φ) will be referred to as the coordinate system adopted to an axial symmetry.

The metric tensor in the coordinate system defined above is the following

$$\hat{q}_{AB} = R^2 (P^{-2} \hat{D}_A x \hat{D}_B x + P^2 \hat{D}_A \varphi \hat{D}_B \varphi) \quad \hat{q}^{AB} = \frac{1}{R^2} (P^2 x^A x^B + P^{-2} \hat{\Phi}^A \hat{\Phi}^B) \quad (\text{B4})$$

whereas the 2-dimensional Ricci tensor takes the very simple form

$${}^{(2)}\mathcal{R}(x, \varphi) = -\frac{1}{R^2} \partial_{xx} P(x)^2. \quad (\text{B5})$$

The function $P := \frac{1}{R} |\hat{\Phi}|$ will be referred to as the *frame coefficient*.

The coordinates defined above are not well-defined at the poles, thus the formulation of a smoothness condition for the metric at those points requires careful analysis as the norm of $\hat{\Phi}$ (so P) vanishes there. Also φ has a 2π discontinuity on one integral curve $\hat{\gamma}$ of x^A which is however a standard discontinuity of an angle coordinate thus is not problematic.

²³ We follow the convention of [31].

On the whole sphere except the poles the necessary and sufficient condition for smoothness and well-definiteness of the metric is the smoothness and explicit positiveness of P . On the other hand the requirement of absence of conical singularities at the poles imposes non-trivial condition for P

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \partial_x P^2 = \mp 2, \quad (\text{B6})$$

which uniquely determines P for given $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$

$$P^2 = 2(x+1) - R^2 \int_{-1}^x \int_{-1}^{x'} \mathcal{R} \hat{d}x'' \hat{d}x'. \quad (\text{B7})$$

In fact the conditions: (B6), $P|_{\pm 1} = 0$ and requirement of smoothness of $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ are sufficient for smoothness of $\hat{q}$ at the poles. Indeed the following is true:

Theorem B.1. *Suppose $P : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $P^2 \in C^k([-1, 1])$ satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\forall_{x \in]-1, 1[} P(x) > 0, \quad P(x = \pm 1) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \partial_x P^2 = \mp 2, \quad (\text{B8})$$

Then the tensor $\hat{q}_{AB}$ defined via (B4) is positively definite axi-symmetric k -times differentiable metric tensor of a sphere. The pair (x, φ) constitutes the coordinate system adapted to axial symmetry.

Proof. To proof the theorem it is enough to show that the function $\theta \in [0, \pi[$ such that $x =: \cos(\theta)$ is proper angle coordinate on the sphere. To do so we will analyze the relation of proposed coordinate system with the conformally spherical one, that is the pair (ϑ, φ) such that

$$\hat{q}_{AB} = \tilde{P}^2 (\hat{D}_A \vartheta \hat{D}_B \vartheta + \sin^2(\vartheta) \hat{D}_A \varphi \hat{D}_B \varphi). \quad (\text{B9})$$

The comparison of (B4a) and (B9) allows us to relate $P, \tilde{P}$ and θ, ϑ :

$$RP = \tilde{P} \sin(\vartheta), \quad \frac{R}{P} \hat{D}_A x = \tilde{P} \hat{D}_A \vartheta. \quad (\text{B10})$$

On the other hand the requirement for q_{AB} to be k times differentiable is equivalent to the requirement that $\tilde{P}$ is: finite, strictly positive and k times differentiable with respect to ϑ . Let us then show that these conditions are indeed satisfied provided assumptions of theorem B.1 hold.

For the convenience we will express the conditions (B10) in terms of an auxiliary coefficient F such that

$$F := \frac{P^2}{1 - x^2}. \quad (\text{B11})$$

They read

$$\tilde{P}^2 = R^2 F \frac{\sin(\theta)}{\sin(\vartheta)}, \quad \frac{d\theta^2}{\sin(\theta)} = F^2 \frac{d\vartheta^2}{\sin(\vartheta)}. \quad (\text{B12})$$

The positiveness of P everywhere except the poles implies that F is also finite and strictly positive there, whereas the necessary conditions for smoothness at the poles (B8b,c) determine the limit of F at them

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} F = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \frac{-\partial_x P^2}{2x} = 1. \quad (\text{B13})$$

Thus

Remark B.2. *Auxiliary frame coefficient F is finite and positively definite on S . In particular $F = 1$ at the poles.*

This result allows us to establish at least boundedness and positive definiteness of $\tilde{P}$ provided $0 < |\sin(\theta)/\sin(\vartheta)| < \infty$. To verify this condition it will be more convenient to introduce 'plane equivalents' $\tilde{t}, t$ of coordinates ϑ, θ :

$$\tilde{t} = \ln(\tan(\frac{\vartheta}{2})), \quad t = \ln(\tan(\frac{\theta}{2})). \quad (\text{B14})$$

An integration of (B12b) gives us the relation between $t, \tilde{t}$

$$\tilde{t}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{F(t')} + \tilde{t}_0. \quad (\text{B15})$$

As (due to (B13)) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} F = 1$ for sufficiently large $|t'|$ the integrand $1/F(t')$ in (B15) is bounded from below by some positive value. Thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \tilde{t}(t) = \pm\infty$ so one can express $\sin(\theta)/\sin(\vartheta)$ at the poles by $\frac{d\theta}{d\vartheta}$ which is equal to

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\vartheta} = \frac{\theta_t dt}{\vartheta_{\tilde{t}} d\tilde{t}} = F \frac{\cosh(\tilde{t})}{\cosh(t)}, \quad (\text{B16})$$

and is finite and strictly positive on S except the poles according to Remark B.2 and finiteness of t . On the other hand due to explicit positiveness of F $\tilde{t}$ is finite whenever t is. That implies via (B14, B12a) the positivity and finiteness of $\tilde{P}$ outside poles.

The value of $\frac{d\theta}{d\vartheta}$ at the poles is given by the following limit:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{d\theta}{d\vartheta} = \exp\left(\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} |\tilde{t}(t) - t|\right), \quad (\text{B17})$$

where

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} (\tilde{t}(t) - t) = \int_0^{\pm\infty} \frac{F(t') - 1}{F(t')} dt' = \int_0^{\pm 1} \frac{F(x) - 1}{F(x)(1 - x^2)} dx, \quad (\text{B18})$$

At the poles the integrated expression takes the following values:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \frac{F(x) - 1}{F(x)(1 - x^2)} &= \mp \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} F_x = \mp \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \partial_x \frac{P^2}{1 - x^2} \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} \frac{\partial_{xx} P^2 + 2F}{2x} = \mp \frac{1}{4} (\partial_{xx} P^2|_{x=\pm 1} + 2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B19})$$

so the integrate (B18) is finite. The following is then true

Remark B.3. If P^2 satisfying (B8) is at least 2-times differentiable in x then the derivative $\frac{d\theta}{d\vartheta}$ is strictly positive and finite on S .

Finally from (B12a) and remark B.2 immediately follows, that the factor $\tilde{P}$ is also strictly positive and finite at the poles (so the entire S).

To prove the differentiability of $\hat{q}_{AB}$ (up to k th order) it is enough to show that F is k -times differentiable in θ and $\theta(\vartheta)$ is $k + 1$ times differentiable in ϑ .

Due to remark B.3 $\theta(\vartheta)$ is at least differentiable. Its 1st and 2nd order derivative can be (via (B12)) expressed in terms of $\partial_{\vartheta}\theta$ and auxiliary coefficient F (and its derivative)

$$\frac{\hat{d}\theta}{\hat{d}\vartheta} = F \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \vartheta} \quad \frac{\hat{d}^2\theta}{\hat{d}\vartheta^2} = \frac{1}{F} \left(\frac{\hat{d}\theta}{\hat{d}\vartheta} \right)^2 \left(F_{\theta} + \frac{F - 1}{\sin \theta} \right). \quad (\text{B20})$$

An action of ∂_{ϑ}^j on (B20b) produces a recursive expression for $n + 2$ th derivative of $\theta(\vartheta)$ which involves $\sin(\theta), \cos(\theta), F(\theta)$ and the derivatives of F over θ up to $j + 1$ order (where 1st order derivatives over ϑ of any component were rewritten as derivatives over θ via (B20a)). As $P^2 \in C^k([-1, 1])$ the derivatives up to $k + 1$ order are continuous everywhere except the poles. Thus to show the global differentiability one needs only to check whether derivatives are well defined (and finite) at the poles. The sufficient condition for that is the differentiability in θ (up to k th order) of the function F and term $\frac{F-1}{\sin \theta}$. To examine this property we will apply the following Lemma (which for the reader convenience will be proved later)

Lemma B.4. Suppose $f : [0, a[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is k -times differentiable in its domain of dependence and f itself as well as the derivatives are finite at 0. Then the following function:

$$f(\bar{x}) := \frac{1}{x^2} \int_0^x dx' \int_0^{x'} f(x'') dx'' \quad (\text{B21})$$

is also k times differentiable at $[0, a[$ (in particular there exist one-sided derivatives of $\bar{f}(x)$ at $x = 0$) and (together with its derivatives) finite at 0.

Let us prove the differentiability of F at $x = -1$ first. By substitution of P^2 in (B11) by (B7) one can express F as:

$$F = \frac{1}{1-x} \left[2 - \frac{R^2}{x+1} \int_{-1}^x \hat{d}x' \int_{-1}^{x'} {}^{(2)}\mathcal{R} \hat{d}x'' \right]. \quad (\text{B22})$$

Due to Lemma B.4 the function

$${}^{(2)}\bar{\mathcal{R}} := \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} \int_{-1}^x \hat{d}x' \int_{-1}^{x'} {}^{(2)}\mathcal{R} \hat{d}x'' \quad (\text{B23})$$

is k -times differentiable in x at $x = -1$, so is F as it can be expressed as follows

$$F = \frac{1}{1-x} \left[2 - R^2(x+1) {}^{(2)}\bar{\mathcal{R}} \right]. \quad (\text{B24})$$

This implies that an action of the operator $\partial_\theta = -(1-x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x$ (up to k times) produces expressions continuous at $x = -1$. One could worry that terms $(1+x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ produced by an action of ∂_θ may produce singularity there (when differentiated) but it is easy to show, that they always combine with positive powers of $(1+x)$ thus the combined terms are always of the form $(1+x)^{\frac{n}{2}}$, where n is non-negative.

The differentiability of F at $x = 1$ can be shown analogously. The only modification to the algorithm used above we need to implement is to change the starting point of integration in (B7) (with appropriate change of the remaining terms in the expression).

The term $\frac{F-1}{\sin \theta}$ can be expressed analogously to F

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F-1}{\sin \theta} &= \frac{1}{(1-x)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left[(1+x)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{R^2}{(x+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-1}^x \hat{d}x' \int_{-1}^{x'} {}^{(2)}\mathcal{R} \hat{d}x'' \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-x)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left[(1+x)^{\frac{1}{2}} - R^2(x+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} {}^{(2)}\bar{\mathcal{R}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B25})$$

hence repeating all the steps of proof of the differentiability of F we also show the differentiability at the poles (up to k th order) of this term. $\square$

Proof of Lemma B.4. We need only to check the differentiability at $x = 0$. The i th derivative of the function $\bar{f}$ defined via (B21) is of the form

$$\partial_x^i \bar{f}(x) = 2(-1)^i \frac{A_i}{(i+2)!x^{i+2}}, \quad (\text{B26})$$

where

$$A_0 = \int_0^x dx' \int_0^{x'} f(x'') dx'', \quad A_{i+1} = x \partial_x A_i - (i+2) A_i. \quad (\text{B27})$$

The second derivative of A_i is always of the form

$$\partial_x^2 A_i = x^i \partial_x^{i+2} A_0. \quad (\text{B28})$$

Indeed this is true for $i = 0$. Moreover differentiating (B27) twice one can show that provided (B28) holds for i it is also satisfied for $i + 1$. Therefore by induction (B28) is true for every non-negative integer i .

The term A_i and $\partial_x A_i$ always vanish at $x = 0$. Hence by application of del'Hospital rule twice we get

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \partial_x^i \bar{f}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} 2(-1)^i \frac{A_i}{(i+2)!x^{i+2}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2(-1)^i}{(i+2)!} \partial_x^{i+2} A_0. \quad (\text{B29})$$

The right-hand side is finite according to the differentiability of f . This completes the proof. $\square$

APPENDIX C: SYSTEMATIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE HAWKING FIELD

Below we present a systematic method of the derivation of the Hawking field. The calculations below are performed for a helical infinitesimal symmetry however the derivation method is general: it can be applied to any case of an infinitesimal symmetry generating at the (maximally extended) horizon non-compact symmetry group and such that the infinitesimal symmetry induced by it on the horizon base space generates compact symmetry group there.

For the need of the development we slightly change the definition of the Hawking field (definition VI.2): here by a Hawking null field we denote a null vector field $\ell_{(X)}\Gamma \in T(\Delta)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $D_{\ell_{(X)}}\ell_{(X)} = \kappa\ell_{(X)}$, where a constant κ is defined below,
- for every intersection p between a null geodesic generator of Δ and an open orbit of X , $\ell_{(X)} \neq 0$ and

$$v'(U_{2\pi}(p)) = v'(p) + 2\pi , \quad (C1)$$

where v' is a parametrization of the geodesic curve compatible with the vector field $\ell_{(X)}$,

- $\ell_{(X)} = 0$ at every closed orbit of X .

The conditions above determine $\ell_{(X)}$ uniquely on Δ .

The constant κ is defined as follows: denote by $\bar{v}$ a function, such that $\ell_{\bar{o}}^a D_a \bar{v} = 1$ where $\ell_{\bar{o}}$ is the Jezierski-Kijowski vector field. Then, there is a constant κ , and a function b defined on Δ such that²⁴

$$U_{2\pi}^* \bar{v} = e^{2\pi\kappa} \bar{v} + b , \quad \ell_{\bar{o}}^a D_a b = 0 . \quad (C2)$$

Now, we derive the Hawking vector field for the case $\kappa \neq 0$. If it exists, it has the following form

$$\ell_{(X)} = (\kappa\bar{v} + c)\ell_{\bar{o}} , \quad \kappa = \text{const} , \quad \ell_{\bar{o}}^a D_a c = 0 . \quad (C3)$$

Integration to this equation leads us to the following expression for the coordinate v' compatible with $\ell_{(X)}$

$$v' = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln\left(\bar{v} + \frac{c}{\kappa}\right) + v_o , \quad (C4)$$

where $\ell_{\bar{o}}^a D_a v_o = 0$.

Upon an action of $U_{2\pi}$ the coordinate v' changes as follows

$$U_{2\pi}(v') = 2\pi + \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln\left(\bar{v} + e^{-2\pi\kappa}\left(b + \frac{c}{\kappa}\right)\right) + v_o . \quad (C5)$$

The desired condition $v'(U_{2\pi}(p)) = v'(p) + 2\pi$ determines the function c as well defined on entire Δ and differentiable as many times as the function b

$$c = \frac{\kappa^{(X)} b}{1 - e^{2\pi\kappa^{(X)}}} . \quad (C6)$$

Therefore, resulting formula is determined at every p such that $U_{2\pi}^* v' \neq v'$. Remarkably, it smoothly extends to the points $U_{2\pi}^* v' = v'$ such that $\ell_{(X)} = 0$ at those points.

Since the Hawking vector field is determined just by X and (q, D) , it is necessarily preserved by every symmetry of Δ , hence

$$[X, \ell_{(X)}] = 0 . \quad (C7)$$

[1] Ashtekar A, Beetle C, Fairhurst S 1999 Isolated Horizons: A Generalization of Black Hole Mechanics *Class.Quant.Grav.* **16** L1-L7
 Ashtekar A, Beetle C, Fairhurst S 2000 Mechanics of Isolated Horizons *Class.Quant.Grav.* **17** 253-298

²⁴ κ is related to $\kappa^{(X)}$ via equality: $\kappa = -\kappa^{(X)}$

- [2] Ashtekar A, Beetle C, Lewandowski J 2001 Mechanics of Rotating Isolated Horizons *Phys.Rev.* **D64** 044016
- [3] Ashtekar A, Beetle C, Lewandowski J 2002 Geometry of Generic Isolated Horizon *Class.Quant.Grav.* **19** 1195-1225
- [4] Ashtekar A, Beetle C, Dreyer O, Fairhurst S, Krishnan B, Lewandowski J, Wiśniewski J 2000 Generic Isolated Horizons and their Applications *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **85** 3564-3567
- [5] Ashtekar A, Dreyer O, Wiśniewski J 2002 Isolated Horizons in 2+1 Gravity *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **6** 507-555
- [6] Lewandowski J, Pawłowski T 2005 Quasi-local rotating black holes in higher dimension: geometry *Class.Quant.Grav.* **22** 1573-1598
- [7] Korzynski M, Lewandowski J, Pawłowski T 2005 Mechanics of multidimensional isolated horizons *Class.Quant.Grav.* **22** 2001-2016
- [8] Pejerski D.W, Newman E.T. 1971 Trapped surface and the development of singularities, *J.Math.Phys.* **9** 1929-1937
- [9] Lewandowski J 2000, Spacetimes Admitting Isolated Horizons *Class.Quant.Grav.* **17** L53-L59
- [10] Chruściel P 1992 On the global structure of Robinson-Trautman spacetimes *Proc.R.Soc.Lond.* **436** 299-316
- [11] Ashtekar A, Krishnan B 2004 Isolated and dynamical horizons and their applications Living Rev. Rel. **7** 10
- [12] Jezierski J, Kijowski J, Czuchry E 2000 Geometry of null-like surfaces in General Relativity and its application to dynamics of gravitating matter *Rep.Math.Phys.* **46** 399-418
- [13] Lewandowski J, Pawłowski T 2002 Geometric Characterizations of the Kerr Isolated Horizon *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **D11** 739-746
- [14] Pawłowski T, Lewandowski J, Jezierski J 2004 Spacetimes foliated by Killing horizons *Class.Quant.Grav.* **21** 1237-1252
- [15] Lewandowski J, Pawłowski T 2003 Extremal Isolated Horizons: A Local Uniqueness Theorem *Class.Quant.Grav.* **20** 587-606
- [16] Chruściel P, Real HS, Tod P 2006 On non-existence of static vacuum black holes with degenerate components of the event horizon *Class.Quant.Grav.* **23** 549-554
- [17] Kramer D, Stephani H, MacCallum M, Herlt E, *Exact Solutions of the Einsteins Field Equations*, second edition, Cambridge University Press, 2003
- [18] Booth I 2001 Metric-based Hamiltonians, null boundaries, and isolated horizons *Class.Quant.Grav.* **18** 4239-4264
- Booth I, Fairhurst S Horizon energy and angular momentum from a Hamiltonian perspective *Class.Quant.Grav.* **22** 4515-4550
- Booth I 2005 Black hole boundaries *Can.J.Phys.* **83** 1073-1099
- [19] Jezierski J, Kijowski J, Czuchry E 2002 Dynamics of a self gravitating light-like matter shell: a gauge-invariant Lagrangian and Hamiltonian description *Phys.Rev.* **D65** 064036
- Czuchry E, Jezierski J, Kijowski J 2003 Local approach to thermodynamics of black holes *Proceedings of the Seventh Hungarian Relativity Workshop, Relativity Today* Ed. I. Racz, (Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 2004)
- Jezierski J 2004 Geometry of null hypersurfaces Authors: Jacek Jezierski *Relativity Today, Proc. 7th Hungarian Relativity Workshop* Ed. I. Racz, (Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 2004)
- Czuchry E, Jezierski J, Kijowski J 2004 Dynamics of gravitational field within a wave front and thermodynamics of black holes *Phys.Rev.* **D70** 124010
- [20] Hayward S A 1993 On the Definition of Averagely Trapped Surfaces *Class.Quant.Grav.* **10** L137-L140
- Hayward S A 1994 Spin-Coefficient Form of the New Laws of Black-Hole Dynamics *Class.Quant.Grav.* **11** 3025-3036
- Hayward S A 1998 Unified first law of black-hole dynamics and relativistic thermodynamics *Class.Quant.Grav.* **15** 3147-3162
- Hayward S A, Mukohyama S, Ashworth M C 1999 Dynamic black-hole entropy *Phys.Lett.* **A256** 347-350
- Ashworth M C, Hayward S A Boundary Terms and Noether Current of Spherical Black Holes *Phys.Rev.* **D60** 084004
- Mukohyama S, Hayward S A 2000 Quasi-local first law of black-hole dynamics *Class.Quant.Grav.* **17** 2153
- Hayward S A 2004 Energy conservation for dynamical black holes *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **93** 251101
- Hayward S A 2004 Energy and entropy conservation for dynamical black holes *Phys.Rev.* **D70** 104027
- Hayward S A 2006 Gravitational radiation from dynamical black holes *Class.Quant.Grav.* **23** L15
- [21] Ashtekar A, Krishnan B 2002 Dynamical Horizons: Energy, Angular Momentum, Fluxes and Balance Laws *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **89** 261101
- Ashtekar A, Krishnan B 2003 Dynamical Horizons and their Properties *Phys.Rev.* **D68** 104030
- [22] Booth I, Fairhurst S 2004 The first law for slowly evolving horizons *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **92** 011102
- [23] Nurowski P, Robinson D C 2000 Intrinsic Geometry of a Null Hypersurface *Class.Quant.Grav.* **17** 4065-4084
- [24] Moncrief V, Isenberg J 1983 Symmetries of cosmological Cauchy horizons *Commun. Math. Phys.* **89** 387-413
- [25] Emparan R, Reall S 2002 A rotating black ring in five dimensions *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **88** 101101
- [26] Czuchry E, *PhD thesis* University of Warsaw 2002
- [27] Hawking, Ellis *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge university Press, 1973
- [28] Friedrich H 1981 On the regular and the asymptotic characteristic initial value problem for Einstein's vacuum field equations *Proc.R.Soc.Lond.* **A 375** 169-184
- Friedrich H 1981 The asymptotic characteristic initial value problem for Einstein's vacuum field equations as an initial value problem for a first-order quasilinear symmetric hyperbolic system *Proc.R.Soc.Lond* **A 378** 401-421
- [29] Rendall A D 1990 Reduction of the characteristic initial value problem to the Cauchy problem and its applications to the Einstein equations *Proc.R.Soc.Lond* **A 427** 221-239
- [30] Newman E T, Penrose R 1962 An approach to gravitational radiation by a method of spin coefficients *J.Math.Phys.* **3** 566-578
- [31] Ashtekar A, Engle J, Pawłowski T, Van Den Broeck C 2004 Multipole Moments of Isolated Horizons *Class.Quant.Grav.* **21** 2549-2570

- [32] Myers R C, Perry M J, 1986 Black Holes in Higher Dimensional Spacetimes *Ann.Phys.* **172** 304
- [33] Morisawa Y, Daisuke I, 2004 A boundary value problem for the five-dimensional stationary rotating black holes *Phys.Rev.* **D69** 124005
- Rogatko M 2004 Uniqueness theorem for stationary black hole solutions of σ -models in five dimensions *Phys.Rev.* **D70** 084025